




Self-adjoint extensions for a class of singular operators

Rauf AMİROV¹, Hidayat Mehmetoğlu HUSEYNOV^{1,2,3,4}, Sevim DURAK^{1,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Cumhuriyet University, Sivas, Turkey

²Baku State University, Baku, Azerbaijan

³Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, National Academy of Sciences of Azerbaijan, Baku, Azerbaijan

⁴Azerbaijan University, Azerbaijan

Received: 26.08.2020

Accepted/Published Online: 23.11.2020

Final Version: 21.01.2021

Abstract: In this study, we consider the domains of the minimal and maximal operators generated of singular differential-expression-type Sturm–Liouville and obtain all self-adjoint extensions of the operator in terms of boundary conditions.

Key words: Sturm–Liouville equation, minimal and maximal operators, self-adjoint extensions

1. Introduction

One of the fundamental problems in the theory of symmetric operators is to construct all those extensions of a given symmetric operator \mathcal{A} which are themselves symmetric operators. A special case of this is the problem of setting up conditions under which an operator shall have a self-adjoint extension and to construct all the self-adjoint extensions when these conditions hold.

If \mathcal{B} is a symmetric extension of a symmetric operator \mathcal{A} , then $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$, so we $\mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathcal{A}^*$. However, \mathcal{B} is a symmetric operator, i.e. $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}^*$, so we have $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathcal{A}^*$, i.e. every symmetric extension of an operator \mathcal{A} is a restriction of the operator \mathcal{A}^* . A symmetric operator \mathcal{A} is said to be maximal if it has no proper symmetric extension. Every self-adjoint operator \mathcal{A} is a maximal symmetric operator. For, in this case, $\mathcal{A}^* = \mathcal{A}$, and the $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathcal{A}^*$ implies that $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$, i.e. every symmetric extension \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} coincides with \mathcal{A} . There are maximal operators which are not self-adjoint.

Boundary value problems for the differential operators with integrable coefficient in the finite interval were well learned (see for exp., [1, 6]). In the last years, learning the differential operators with the nonintegrable or singular coefficient has become even more important according to physical science needs.

In the present paper, in interval $(0, 1)$, all self-adjoint extensions have been considered for Sturm–Liouville operator which is generated by differential expression

$$l[u] = -u''(x) + cx^{-\alpha}u(x) + l(l+1)x^{-2}u(x) + q(x)u(x) \quad (1.1)$$

where c , α , and l are real numbers, $\alpha \in [1, 2)$, $l \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $q(x)$ is a real-valued measurable bounded function and define $\sigma(x) = \int q(x)dx$.

*Correspondence: sdurak@cumhuriyet.edu.tr

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 34A55, 34B24, 34L05

Note that a similar problem was completely studied in [1, 4, 6, 7] for the Sturm–Liouville equation with integrable potential and with Bessel potential ($c = 0$). Boundary value problems with another regularization were given in [2] for $\alpha < \frac{3}{2}$ ($l = 0$). Self-adjoint extensions of the operator generated by differential expression (1.1) in the case $l = 0$ with potential $\sigma'(\cdot)$, $\sigma(\cdot) \in L_2(0, 1)$ were given in [5]. Regularizations used in the paper coincide with those in [5] only for $\alpha < \frac{3}{2}$ in the case $l = 0$. More generally than studies [2] and [5], that is, in the case of $\alpha \in [1, 2)$ and $l = 0$, the operators self-adjoint extensions produced by (1.1) differential expression were obtained in [3].

2. Main results

On the set $D_0 = C_0^\infty(0, 1)$, we introduce an operator L'_0 by the formula $L'_0 u \equiv l[u]$. Obviously, L'_0 is a symmetric operator in $L_2(0, 1)$. Its closure L_0 is called the minimal operator generated by differential expression (1.1). The adjoint operator L_0^* is called the maximal operator. Since the operators L_0 and L'_0 generated by the expression (1.1) differ from the corresponding operators generated by the expression (1.1) with $q(x) \equiv 0$ by a bounded operator, in the following, we assume that

$$l[u] = -u''(x) + cx^{-\alpha}u(x) + l(l + 1)x^{-2}u(x)$$

For the determination of the domains of the minimal and maximal operators we need a fundamental (principal) solution system for the equation

$$-u''(x) + cx^{-\alpha}u(x) + l(l + 1)x^{-2}u(x) = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

First of all, let us give the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1 *If $M \neq n - 1$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the differential equation*

$$u''(t) - \frac{M}{t}u'(t) = \frac{Q}{t}u(t), \quad Q \neq 0 \tag{2.2}$$

has only one solution as the following:

$$u(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_k t^k$$

If $M = n - 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the differential equation (2.2) has only one solution as the following:

$$u(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k t^k + t^n \frac{Q}{n} c_{n-1} \ln t + \sum_{k=n+1}^{+\infty} (\tilde{A}_k + \tilde{B}_k \ln t) t^k$$

where $c_k = Q^k (k!)^{-1} \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} (p - M)^{-1}$, $c_0 = 1$, $\tilde{B}_{k+1}(k + 1)(k - n + 1) = \tilde{B}_k$, $k \geq n$, $\tilde{B}_n = \frac{Q}{n} c_{n-1}$,

$$\tilde{A}_{n+1}(n + 1) + \tilde{B}_{n+1}(n + 2) = 0,$$

$$Q\tilde{A}_k = (k + 1)(k - n + 1)\tilde{A}_{k+1} + (2k + 2 - n)\tilde{B}_{k+1}, \quad k > n.$$

It is clearly seen that the function $u(t)$ given in the expression of the lemma satisfies equation (2.2) in both $M \neq n - 1$ and $M = n - 1$ cases.

Let us investigate the fundamental system of solution of differential equation (2.1) as

$$u_1(x) = x^{l+1}\psi(x), \quad u_2(x) = x^{-l}\varphi(x).$$

Then, we obtain the differential equations

$$\psi''(x) + \frac{2(l+1)}{x}\psi'(x) = \frac{c}{x^\alpha}\psi(x), \quad \varphi''(x) - \frac{2l}{x}\varphi'(x) = \frac{c}{x^\alpha}\varphi(x)$$

for functions $\psi(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$.

If the transformation $t = x^{2-\alpha}$ in the obtained differential equations for the functions $\psi(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$ and representation $\tilde{\psi}(t) = \psi\left(t^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}}\right)$, $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \varphi\left(t^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}}\right)$ are used, we get the following differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}''(t) + \frac{2(l+1) + 1 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{t} \tilde{\psi}'(t) &= \frac{c}{(2 - \alpha)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{t} \tilde{\psi}(t) \\ \tilde{\varphi}''(t) - \frac{2l - 1 + \alpha}{2 - \alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{t} \tilde{\varphi}'(t) &= \frac{c}{(2 - \alpha)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{t} \tilde{\varphi}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it was shown that functions $\tilde{\psi}(t)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(t)$ satisfy the differential equation with the type (2.2). By using the solutions of differential equation (2.2), we obtained the representations in Lemma 2.1 for the functions $\tilde{\psi}(t)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(t)$, so also functions $u_1(x)$ and $u_2(x)$ are fundamental solution of equation (2.1).

Lemma 2.2 Equation (2.1) has a solution $u_1(x)$ with the initial conditions

$$u_1(x) = x^{l+1} [1 + o(1)], \quad u_1'(x) = (l + 1)x^l + o(x^l) \quad x \rightarrow +0$$

and a solution $u_2(x)$ that can be represented in the form

$$u_2(x) = x^l \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k x^{(2-\alpha)k} & \text{if } \alpha \neq \frac{2n-1-2l}{n}, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \\ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k x^{(2-\alpha)k} + \frac{c}{2l+1} a_{n-1} x^{2l+1} \ln x \\ \quad + \sum_{k=n+1}^{+\infty} (A_k + B_k \ln x) x^{(2-\alpha)k} & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{2n-1-2l}{n}, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where $a_0 = 1$, $a_k = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{c^k}{(2-\alpha)^k} \prod_{p=1}^k (2p-1-\alpha p-2l)^{-1}$.

The existence of the solution $u_1(x)$ is well known (see [8]). The assertion concerning the solution $u_2(x)$ can be obtained by a straightforward substitution of the expression (2.3) into equation (2.1).

Lemma 2.3 The domain $D(L_0^*)$ of the maximal operator L_0^* generated by the expression (1.1) is the set of functions $u(x)$ that can be represented in the form

$$u(x) = u_1(x)h_1 + u_2(x)h_2 + \int_0^x [u_2(x)u_1(t) - u_1(x)u_2(t)] f(t)dt$$

where $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f \in L_2(0, 1)$ (\mathbb{C} is the set of complex numbers).

It follows from this lemma that the function $u \in L_2(0, 1)$ belongs to $D(L_0^*)$ if and only if $u'(x)$ is absolutely continuous on $(0, 1)$ and $l[u] \in L_2(0, 1)$. Next, on $D(L_0^*)$, the operator L_0^* acts by the formula $L_0^*u = l[u]$.

It follows from Lemma2.2 and Lemma2.3 that the function $u'(x)$, where $u \in D(L_0^*)$, does not necessarily have a boundary value at the point $x = 0$. However, it has a regularized boundary value. We set $b_1 = a_1$ and $b_k = ka_k - b_1a_{k-1} - \dots - b_{k-1}a_1$, $k > 1$ and introduce the functions

$$\sigma_{\alpha,l}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \alpha < 1 - 2l \\ c \ln x & \alpha = 1 - 2l \\ (2 - \alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k x^{2k-1-\alpha k-2l} + ca_{n-1} \ln x & \alpha = \frac{2n-1-2l}{n}, n = 2, 3, \dots \\ (2 - \alpha) \sum_{k=1}^n b_k x^{2k-1-\alpha k-2l} & \alpha \in \left(\frac{2n-1-2l}{n}, \frac{2n+1-2l}{n+1} \right), \\ & n = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

$$(\Gamma_1 y)(x) = x^l y(x), (\Gamma_2 y)(x) = x^{-l-1} [xy'(x) + ly(x)] - \sigma_{\alpha,l}(x)x^l y(x)$$

Lemma 2.4 Let $u \in D(L_0^*)$. Then the functions $(\Gamma_1 u)(x)$ and $(\Gamma_2 u)(x)$ has limits

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (\Gamma_1 u)(x) = (\Gamma_1 u)(0), \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (\Gamma_2 u)(x) = (\Gamma_2 u)(0).$$

Now one can readily show (see [1, 6]) that the domain $D(L_0)$ of the minimal operator L_0 generated by expression (1.1) is the set of functions $u \in D(L_0^*)$ such that

$$(\Gamma_1 u)(0) = (\Gamma_2 u)(0) = u(1) = u'(1) = 0.$$

For each function $u \in D(L_0^*)$, we define an ordered pair $\{U, U'\}$ of vectors of the two-dimensional euclidean space \mathbb{C}^2 as follows:

$$U = \{-(\Gamma_1 u)(0), u(1)\}, \quad U' = \{(\Gamma_2 u)(0), u'(1)\} \tag{2.4}$$

The Lagrange formula for functions $u, v \in D(L_0^*)$ can be written out in the form

$$(L_0^*u, v) - (u, L_0^*v) = \langle U, V' \rangle - \langle U', V \rangle$$

where the symbols (\cdot, \cdot) and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stand for the inner products in the spaces $L_2(0, 1)$ and \mathbb{C}^2 , respectively. Now, by using theorem on the representation of Hermitian relations [1, 7], one can prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2.5 For each self-adjoint extensions of operator L_0^*

$$(CosA).U' - (SinA).U = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

$$(\dot{U} - I)U' + (\dot{U} + I)U = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

any of boundary conditions have been determined, where A , \dot{U} , and I are self-adjoint, uniter, and identical operators respectively. Conversely, helping any of boundary conditions (2.5) and (2.6), the self-adjoint extensions can be generated.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the anonymous referee for stimulating criticism. This work is supported by the Scientific research Project Fund of Cumhuriyet University under the project number "F-564"

References

- [1] Akhiezer NI, Glazman IM. Theory Of Linear Operators In Hilbert Spaces. New York, USA: Academic Press, 1978.
- [2] Albeverio S, Gesztesy F, Hoegh-Krohn R, Holden H. Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics. New York, USA: Springer, 1988.
- [3] Amirov RKh, Guseinov IM. Boundary value problems for a class of Sturm–Liouville operators with nonintegrable potential. *Differential Equations* 2002; 38.(8): 1195-1197.
- [4] Bairamoglu M. About self adjoint extensions of an operator equation wich has singularity. *Izvestiya Akademii. Nauk Azerbajcan SSR, Seriya. Fiziko-Tekhniceskiy. i Matematicheskij Nauk*, 1976; (2): 140-144.
- [5] Savchuk AM, Shkalikov AA. Sturm–Liouville operators with singular potentials. *Mathematic Zametki* 1999; 66 (6): 897-912.
- [6] Naimark MA. *Linear Differential Operators*. Moscow, Russia: Nauka, 1969.
- [7] Rofe-Beketov FS, Kholkin AM. *Spectral Analysis of Differential Operators: Interplay between Spectral and Oscillatory Properties*. Hackensack, NJ, USA: World Scientific Publishing, 2005.
- [8] Levitan BM, Sargsian IS. *Introduction To Spectral Theory*. Moscow, Russia: Nauka, 1970.