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#### Abstract

An approach towards the preparation of novel angular and linear carbazole based naphtho naphthyridines are described in good yields. From schematic study on the condensation of 4-chloro-2methylbenzo[ $h$ ]quinoline and 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole in presence of CuI as catalyst to N -(9-ethyl$9 H$-carbazol-3-yl)-2-methylbenzo[h]quinolin-4-amine was stated as starting synthon. Thus, this carbazole based quinoline amine on treatment with Eaton's reagent catalyzed cyclisation reaction with Aromatic carboxylic acids to yield the linear and angular 8 -substituted naphtho[ $h$ ]carbazol $[1,6]$ naphthyridines. This Eaton's reagent is a precise catalyst for the reaction of cyclizing cum aromatization agent followed by dehydration of the conversion of angular and linear naphthyridines in excellent yields compared with Polyphosphoric acid (PPA). Further, the molecular docking studies were conducted to offer binding mode into the binding sites of phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase 1 (PDK-1) receptors. The synthesized compounds showed better docking scores and binding energies, when compared with reference drugs ARC-111 and Ellipticine. Pharmacokinetic (ADME) parameters of the potent derivatives have also been found to an acceptable range.


© 2023 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In heterocyclic chemistry, the innovation of new potential functionalized molecules creates several advantages of synthetic methodologies to improve the existing drug-like chemical space and make more effective drug discovery of medicinal chemists [1]. Among all the heterocyclic compounds, nitrogen based quinolines

[^0]and naphthyridines are the most favorable scaffolds in the medicinal chemistry [2]. The antimalarial drugs of aminoquinoline analogues [i.e. (Fig. 1), chloroquine (I), amodiaquine (II), and primaquine (III)] are the most significant role of several clinically used drugs [3].

The reaction with chloroquinoline derivatives have been broadly studied to derive biologically active substituted quinolones [4], and also in the synthesis of some naphthyridine analogues [5]. Among them, the quinoline derivatives, pyrido fused quinolines (Fig. 1, IV, i.e., naphthyridines, benzonaphthyridines, and dibenzonaphthyridines) are plays a vital role in living cells and potent PDK-1 inhibitors (Fig. 1, V and ARC-111) [6,7]. Such naphthyridines are showed prominent biological activities such as CB2 selective
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Fig. 1. Selected examples of quinoline, naphthyridine and carbazole based biological active molecules.
agonists [8], anti-HIV [9], anticancer [10], selective 3-phosphoinositide- dependent kinase-I inhibitors [11], and topoisomerase-I inhibitors [12]. The 3-phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase 1 (PDK1) inhibitors perform a substantial function in cancer cell growth, survival, and tumor angiogenesis for drug discovery, also, PDK1 inhibitors represent a promising target for anticancer drugs in small molecules [13]. Carbazole and hetero annulated carbazole (Fig. 1, VI, VII and Ellipticine) analogues have elaborated wide array of biological activities such as antioxidant, antimicrobial, anticancer, antidiabetic, antitubercular and anticonvulsant activity [14].

An amine group inserted with an organic molecule to form $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond by using copper iodide as a catalyst, with a reduction of toxicity and more economical than other transition metal catalyst [15]. So, the amination reaction of aryl and heteroaryl halides have attained much consideration and gratitude using CuI catalyst [16]. Therefore, this combination i.e., copper(I)iodide (CuI) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) has significant method to produce annulated compounds due to its more selectivity [17]. Further, the investigation of naphthyridines has extended to significantly active probe for biological properties in the recent decades [6,12,18]. In this context, the avenue through selective synthesis of naphthyridines with simply available catalysts, preferably solvent free synthesis of naphthyridines using PPA [19], Based on the above facts, we research on cyclizing cum dehydrating using acid catalyzed reactions using Eaton's reagent is an alternate acid catalyst for PPA [20] and various quinoline based heterocycles using Eaton's reagent catalyzed reactions [21-24].

We consider that, in the presence of Eaton's reagent is a precise catalyst for the reaction of cyclizing cum aromatization agent followed by dehydration of the conversion of angular and linear naphthyridines in good yields compared with polyphosphoric acid (phosphorus pentoxide in phosphoric acid) through quinoline carbazole amine intermediates using CuI/DMSO. Further, the molecular docking studies were achieved to examine the interactions between human protein kinase-1 receptors (PDK-1 inhibitors) and the synthesized compounds are docked with Autodock4.0 [25].

PDK-1 inhibitor is referred as "master kinase" due to it phosphorylates residues which is responsible for activation loop causes mutation in all tumor cells and results in high activation. So PDK -1 afford some important therapeutic agents in cancer treatment. Based on the information we choose such important inhibitor for docking [7]. The molecular docking studies were conducted to offer binding mode into the binding sites of phosphoinositidedependent protein kinase 1 (PDK-1) inhibitors [7], which is compared with reference drugs ARC-111 and Ellipticine. Consequently, ADME (Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion) [26] studies of the novel angular and linear carbazole based naphtho naphthyridine analogues are designed to investigate for chemical parameters of drug efficacy range.

## 2. Experimental and computational section

### 2.1. General

Melting points (M.p.) were determined on a Mettler FP 51 apparatus (Mettler Instruments, Switzerland) and are uncorrected. They are expressed in degree centigrade $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. A Nicolet Avatar Model FT-IR spectrophotometer was used to record the FT-IR spectra ( $4000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AV 500 ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and $125 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ) spectrometers using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal reference. The chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm). Coupling constants $(J)$ are reported in hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$. The terms $J_{o}$ and $J_{m}$ refer to ortho coupling constant and meta coupling constant. The terms s, d, t, dd refer to singlet, doublet, triplet and doublet of doublet, respectively, b s refers to a broad singlet. Mass spectra (MS) were recorded on Auto Spec EI + Shimadzu QP 2010 PLUS GC-MS mass spectrometer. Microanalyses were performed on a Vario EL III model CHNS analyzer (Vario, Germany) at the Department of Chemistry, Bharathiar University. When known compounds had to be prepared according to literature procedures, pertinent references are given. When freshly preparing Eaton's reagent/PPA, the $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ should be taken in a dry box in our laboratory. The solvent and
reagents used for the preparations were of reagent grade and were purified by standard methods, petroleum ether used was of boiling range $60-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anhydrous sodium sulphate was used to dry the solution of organic extracts. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using glass plates coated with silica gel-G containing $13 \%$ calcium sulphate as binder. Ethyl acetate and petroleum ether were used as developing solvents. A chamber containing iodine vapors was used to locate the spots. Separation and purification of the crude products was carried out using chromatographic columns packed with activated silica gel (60-120 mesh). In the case of mixture of solvents used for elution, the ratio of the mixture is given in brackets.

### 2.2. In silico analysis and ADME studies

Docking analysis was performed by Autodock4.0 tool [25]. Human phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase 1 (PDK-1) receptor (PDB ID: 3H9O) [7a] was download from Protein Data Bank. Receptor and compounds were prepared for docking analysis with MGL Tool 1.5.6. Grid box values were given as $X=58, Y=60, Z=76$, spacing angstrom as $0.753 \AA$, center of grid box value is $X=41.106$, $Y=-17.914, Z=1.477$ and total grid points per map of 277,123 was constructed by enveloping the entire receptor. The given input parameters were analyzed using a Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm (LGA). Each compound was performed for molecular docking of 100 runs, and it was chosen for best conformers. During the docking process, complex structure showing lowest binding energy, ligand efficiency, intermolecular energy with a greater number of hydrogen bonds were selected for competent results. ADME (absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion) study was carried out to identify the pharmacokinetics and drug-likeliness property for entire docked compounds namely 3, 5a-e, 6a-e, ARC111 and Ellipticine was done using SwissADME tool [29]. Studied compounds were fully optimized at B3LYP/6-31G(d) level in gas phase using Gaussian Software.

### 2.3. General procedure for the synthesis of N-(9-ethyl-9H-carbazol-

 3-yl)-2-methylbenzo[h] quinolin-4-amine (3)An appropriate mixture of 4-chloro-2-methylbenzo[ $h$ ]quinoline (1, 0.004 mol ) was reacted with 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole (2) ( 0.004 mol ) using CuI and heated in DMSO at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an hour. After the reaction completion was indication by the TLC, the reaction mixture was washed with water, dried, adsorbed and purified using silica gel column chromatography and eluted with ethyl acetate: methanol (94:6) mixture to get 3 which was then recrystallized using methanol.

### 2.3.1. N-(9-ethyl-9H-carbazol-3-yl)-2-methylbenzo[h]quinolin-4amine (3)

Greenish yellow solid; mp: $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Yield: (93\%); IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ $\nu_{\max }: 3234(\mathrm{NH}), 1596(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ : $1.38\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}_{9}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{3}, J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.52(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}_{9}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.23\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$, $J=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50-7.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2}^{\prime}-, \mathrm{C}_{7}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$, $J=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.81\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{8}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{7} \& \mathrm{C}_{5}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $8.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}, J=9.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{8} \& \mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{HJ}=9.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 9.43\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ $J=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.29\left(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}\right), 13.65\left(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) (ppm) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 14.28\left(\mathrm{~N}_{9}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.15\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $37.66\left(\mathrm{~N}_{9}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 102.73\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 107.28\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right), 109.90\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{\prime}\right), 110.31$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right), 110.76\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}^{\prime}\right), 113.79\left(\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}{ }^{\prime}\right), 118.68\left(\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{a}}{ }^{\prime}\right), 119.52\left(\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{a}}{ }^{\prime}\right), 120.11$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ ) 121.28 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{7}{ }^{\prime}\right), 122.36\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 123.47$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{8 \mathrm{a}}{ }^{\prime}$ ), 124.47 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{9 \mathrm{a}}{ }^{\prime}\right), 125.48\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}{ }^{\prime}\right)$, $126.83\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 128.30\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 129.21\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \& \mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 130.45\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 134.68\left(\mathrm{C}_{10 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, $135.68\left(C_{6 a}\right), 138.90\left(C_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right), 140.62\left(C_{10 b}\right) 152.36\left(C_{4}\right), 154.52\left(C_{2}\right) ;$ MS
(EI) $m / z(\%) 401\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 386$ (45); Anal. Calcd. for: $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ (401): C, 83.76; H, 5.77; N, 10.47. Found: C, 83.81 ; H, 5.69 ; N, $10.50 \%$.
2.4. General procedure for the synthesis of 12-ethyl-6-methyl-7-tolyl-12h-naphtho[h]carbazol [2,3-c]: [1,6]naphthyridine (5a) and 9-ethyl-6-methyl-7-tolyl-9H-naphtho[h]carbazol [2,3-b]: [1,6] naphthyridine (6a)

### 2.4.1. Method $A$

A mixture of an appropriate 3-( $N$-(2-methylquinolin-4-yl) amino)-9-ethyl-9H-carbazole (3, 1 mmol ) and $p$-methylbenzoic acid (4a, 1.5 mmol ) was added to freshly prepared polyphosphoric acid ( 1 g of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and 0.5 ml of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ) and heated at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After the completion of the reaction, the mixture was poured into crushed ice and neutralized with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution to remove the excess of $p$-methylbenzoic acid. The crude product thus obtained was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using petroleum ether: ethyl acetate as an eluant to get yellow solid of the respective 12-ethyl-6-methyl-7-tolyl-12Hnaphtho[ $h$ ]carbazol[2,3-c] [1,6]naphthyridine (5a) and 9-ethyl-6-methyl-7-tolyl-9H-naphtho[h]carbazol[2,3-b] [1,6] naphthyridine (6a).

### 2.4.2. Method B

A mixture of an appropriate 3-( $N$-(2-methylquinolin-4-yl) amino)-9-ethyl-9H-carbazole (3, 1 mmol ) and $p$-methylbenzoic acid (4a, 1.5 mmol ) was added to freshly prepared Eaton's reagent ( 1 g of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and 0.5 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ ) and heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After the completion of the reaction, the mixture was poured into crushed ice and neutralized with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution to remove the excess of $p$-methylbenzoic acid. The crude product thus obtained was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using petroleum ether: ethyl acetate as an eluant to get yellow solid of the respective 12-ethyl-6-methyl-7-tolyl-12Hnaphtho[ $h$ ]carbazol[2,3-c] [1,6]naphthyridine (5a) and 9-ethyl-6-methyl-7-tolyl-9H-naphtho[ $h$ ]carbazol[2,3-b] [1,6] naphthyridine (6a). The same reaction condition was carried out with other aromatic (2-chlorobenzoic, 4-methoxybenzoic and 3-nitrobenzoic acids) and hetero (pyridine carboxylic acid) carboxylic acid to get their respective carbazolonaphthyridines (5b-e and 6b-e).

### 2.4.3. 12-Ethyl-6-methyl-7-tolyl-12H-naphtho[h]carbazol [2,3-c]: [1,6]naphthyridine (5a)

Yellow solid; mp: $133-134{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Yield: $(2 \%)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \nu_{\text {max }}$ : 1584, $1530(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $(\mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.45(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{N}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.30\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.24-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{8}, \mathrm{C}_{9}, \mathrm{C}_{10}, \mathrm{C}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}_{3}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.00\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{11}-\mathrm{HJ}=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $8.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{HJ}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{14}-\mathrm{HJ}=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.23$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{H} J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{14}-\mathrm{H} J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 9.22(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 9.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{H} J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 14.50,21.65,29.05,38.18,117.18,118,35$, $120.77,121.54,125,32,126.53,126.81,127.28,127.82,128.17,128.64$ (2C), 129.18 (2C), 129.75, 130.06, 130.65 (2C), 131.99, 133.16, 134.39, $135.62,137.84,139.12,139.57,140.26,140.61,142.82,144.88,145.52$, 147.78, 158.02; MS (EI) $m / z(\%) 501\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$; Anal. Calcd. for: $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ (501): C, 86.20; H, 5.43; N, 8.37\%. Found: C, 86.17; H, 5.39; N, 8.44\%.

[^1]$J=2.00 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}, J=9.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$, $J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H}, J=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.60\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime} \& \mathrm{C}_{5}{ }^{\prime}-\right.$ $\mathrm{H}, J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.69-7.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2}-, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.84\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ $J=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.50 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.03-8.09\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{11} \& \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{H} J=9.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H} J=9.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{14}-\mathrm{H}\right), 9.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 9.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ $J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ( ppm ) $\delta_{\mathrm{c}}: 14.78,22.01$, 30.11, 39.22, 102.21, 109.13, 115.13, 117.11, 120.45, 123.56, 124.00, 125.23, 126.33, 127.16, 127.99, 128.48 (2C), 129.65 (2C), 129.56, 130.70 (2C), 130.64, 132.98, 134.40, 135.71, 137.90, 139.21, 139.66, 140.30, 140.57, 142.77, 144.98, 145.61, 147.78, 159.23; MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)$ 501 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100$ ); Anal. Calcd. for: $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ (501): C, 86.20; H, 5.43; N, $8.38 \%$. Found: C, 86.24 ; H, 5.41 ; N, $8.35 \%$.

### 2.4.5. 12-Ethyl-6-methyl-7-(4'-chlorophenyl)-12H-naphtho[h]

 carbazol [2,3-c]: [1,6]naphthyridine(5b)Yellow solid; mp: $127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Yield: $0.277 \mathrm{~g}(3 \%)$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ $\nu_{\text {max }}: 1609,1567 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (ppm) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.57(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{N}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.56(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{N}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.26-7.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{8}, \mathrm{C}_{9}, \mathrm{C}_{10}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}, \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $8.03\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{11}-\mathrm{HJ}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.07-8.10\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{13}\right.$ $\& \mathrm{C}_{14}-\mathrm{H} J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{H} J=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.46(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H} J=9.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $9.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}, J=9.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 9.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{HJ}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 521\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$; Anal. Calcd. for: $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{3}$ (521): C, 80.53; H, 4.63; N, 8.05\%. Found: C, 80.57; H, 4.59; N, 8.01\%
2.4.5.1. 9-Ethyl-6-methyl-7-(4'-chlorophenyl)-9H-naphtho[h]carbazol [2,3-b]: [1,6]naphthyridine (6b). Yellow solid; mp: 253-255 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Yield: (72\%); IR (KBr, cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) $\nu_{\text {max }}:, 1640,1600 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.55\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}_{9}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.56\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}_{9}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.79\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{H}\right.$, $J=1.50 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26-7.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{13}, \mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}_{5}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.85$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{H} J=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.03-8.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{11} \&\right.$ $\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $8.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{H} J=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ $J=9.00 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{14}-\mathrm{H}\right), 9.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 9.35$ (d, 1H, C $16-\mathrm{H} J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 521\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$; Anal. Calcd. for: $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{3}$ (521): C, 80.53 ; H, 4.63 ; N, $8.05 \%$. Found: C, 80.49; H, 4.67; N, 8.09\%
2.4.6. 12-Ethyl-6-methyl-7-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-12H-naphtho[h] carbazol [2,3-c]: [1,6]naphthyridine (5c)

Yellow solid; mp: $119^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Yield: (3\%); IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\nu_{\text {max }}:$, 1644 , 1587; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (ppm) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.57\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $J=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.49(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{N}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~J}=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.25-7.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{8}, \mathrm{C}_{9}, \mathrm{C}_{10}, \mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}_{5}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.03\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{11}-\mathrm{H} J=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.10\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{13} \&\right.$ $\mathrm{C}_{14}-\mathrm{H} J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{H} J=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H} J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $9.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 9.32(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{H} J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 517\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$; Anal. Calcd. for: $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ (517): C, 83.53; H, 5.26; N, 8.12; Found: C, 83.48; H, 5.29; N, 8.08\%.

### 2.4.7. 9-Ethyl-6-methyl-7-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-9H-naphtho[h]

 carbazol [2,3-b]: [1,6]naphthyridine(6c)Yellow solid; mp: 249-251 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Yield: ( $66 \%$ ); $\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}^{2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \nu_{\text {max }}$ : 1599, 1554; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (ppm) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.50(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{N}_{9}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{3}, J=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{\prime}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.41\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}_{9}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{H}\right.$, $J=1.50 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.17\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2}^{\prime} \& \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H} J=9.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{HJ}=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.50-7.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime}, \& \mathrm{C}_{5}{ }^{\prime}-\right.$ H), $7.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{HJ}=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.01-8.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{11} \& \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $8.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{HJ}=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{HJ}=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.50$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{14}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $9.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}, J=9.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 9.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ $J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$ MS (EI) $m / z(\%) 517\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$; Anal. Calcd. for:
$\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ (517): C, 83.53; H, 5.26; N, 8.12; Found: C, 83.57; H, 5.20; N, 8.16\%.

### 2.4.8. 12-Ethyl-6-methyl-7-(3'-nitrophenyl)-12H-naphtho[h] carbazol [2,3-c]: [1,6]naphthyridine (5d)

Yellow solid; mp: $127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Yield: (3\%); IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}^{\mathrm{cm}}{ }^{-1}\right) \nu_{\text {max }}: 1590$, 1587, 1515, 1345; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (ppm) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.57(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{N}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.38(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{N}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.20\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) 7.37-7.70$ (m, 7H, C2, C $\left.3, \mathrm{C}_{8}, \mathrm{C}_{9}, \mathrm{C}_{10}, \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{13}, \mathrm{C} 14, \mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{H}\right.$ ), $8.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{HJ}=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.42\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ $J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 9.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ $J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 532\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$; Anal. Calcd. for: $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (532): C, 78.93; H, 4.54; N, 10.52; Found: C, 78.97; H, 4.49; N, 10.57\%.

### 2.4.9. 9-Ethyl-6-methyl-7-(3'-nitrophenyl)-9H-naphtho[h]carbazol [2,3-b]: [1,6]naphthyridine(6d)

Yellow solid; mp: $266-268{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Yield: 0.277 g (62\%); IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\nu_{\text {max }}: 1628,1541,1517,1347 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{ppm})$ $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.47\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}_{9}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.37$ ( $\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}_{9}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $6.51\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{H}, J=2.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.21$ (t, 1H, C $\mathrm{C}_{5}^{\prime}-\mathrm{H} J=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.39-7.56 (m, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{13}, \mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $7.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{H}, J=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{11} \& \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.09(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{H} J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ $J=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{14}-\mathrm{H}\right), 9.26\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 9.35$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{H} J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); MS (EI) m/z (\%) $532\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$; Anal. Calcd. for: $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (532): C, 78.93; H, 4.54; N, 10.52; Found: C, 78.89; H, 4.57; N, 10.48\%

### 2.4.10. 12-Ethyl-6-methyl-7-(pyridine-3-yl)-12H-naphtho[h]

 carbazol [2,3-c]: [1,6]naphthyridine (5e)Yellow solid; mp: $101^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Yield: $(2 \%)$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \nu_{\max }$ : 1617 , $1570,1536(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.58(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{N}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.59(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{N}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.31-7.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{10}, \mathrm{C}_{11}, \mathrm{C}_{12}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.06\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H} J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.10\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{14}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ $J=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H} J=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.42\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ $J=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.51\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H} J=9.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 9.20$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.80 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 9.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{HJ}=8.40 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 14.30,21.71,29.32,38.41,117.22,118.40$, $120.81,121.60,125.55,126.71,126.91,127.33,128.21,128.46$ (2C), 129.43 (2C), 129.65, 130.11, 130.72, 132.09, 133.65, 134.83, 136.02, $137.48,139.22,139.69,140.64,141.11,142.94,144.908,146.02$, 147.82, 158.05; MS (EI) $m / z(\%) 488\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$; Anal. Calcd. for: $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ (488): C, 83.58 ; H, 4.95; N, 11.47; Found: C, 83.62; H, 5.00; N, 11.38\%.

### 2.4.11. 9-Ethyl-6-methyl-7-(pyridin-3-yl)-9H-naphtho[h]carbazol [2,3-b]: [1,6]naphthyridine (6e)

Yellow solid; mp: $239^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Yield: ( $42 \%$ ); $\mathrm{IR}\left({\left.\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)} \nu_{\text {max }}\right.$ : 1640 , 1612, $1588(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.55(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{N}_{9}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{3}, J=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.60(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{N}_{9}-\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{H}, J=1.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}_{5}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H} J=9.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.46-7.51 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{10}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.03-8.21 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{11}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H} J=5.20 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H} J=4.80 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H} J=9.20 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{14}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 9.26\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}, J=8.80 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 9.32(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{16}-\mathrm{HJ}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $(\mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 14.81$, 22.22, 30.30, 39.56, 102.43, 109.24, 115.56, 117.41, 120.63, 124.19, $125.48,126.81,127.09,127.79,128.55$ (2C), 129.72 (2C), 129.66, $130.59,131.07,133.21,134.45,135.61,138.31,139.04,139.90,140.67$, 140.54, 143.67, 144.39, 145.38, 147.87, 158.64; MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 488$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100$ ); Anal. Calcd. for: $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ (488): C, 83.58 ; H, 4.95; N , 11.47; Found: C, 83.60 ; H, 4.90 ; N, 11.50\%.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Chemistry

The approach to the preparation of various 8 -substituted naphthocarbazol-naphthyridine, an equimolar mixture of 4-chloro-2-methylbenzo $[h] q u i n o l i n e ~(1) ~ a n d ~ 3-a m i n o-9-~$ ethylcarbazole (2) in DMSO using CuI and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h afford a sole product (Scheme 1). Its FT-IR spectrum has shown stretching vibrations at $3234 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1596 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to the presence of NH and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ groups, respectively. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed three proton triplet at $\delta 1.38(J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and a quartet at $\delta 4.52(J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz})$ due to methyl and methylene protons of the ethyl group. A three-proton sharp singlet displayed at $\delta 2.72$ showed the presence of methyl proton of the benzoquionline moiety. A peculiar one proton singlet exhibited at $\delta 6.64$ due to $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}$ of the benzoquinoline ring. The signals showed in a region between $\delta 7.23$ and 9.43 were due to rest of the aromatic protons of both quinoline and carbazole ring. Two one proton broad singlets at $\delta 10.89$ and $\delta 13.65$ which were due to NH proton of the carbazole and its tautomeric form of quinoline NH (in 1:1 ratio). Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum revealed the presence of 28 carbons. The elemental analysis and the molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 410$ concurred well with the molecular formula, $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$. Hence the structure of the obtained product was assigned as N -(9-ethyl-9H-carbazol-3-yl)-2methylbenzo[ $h$ ]quinolin-4-amine (3).

A plausible mechanism of the CuI catalyzed reaction between 4-chloro-2-methylbenzo[ $h$ ]quinoline (1) and 3-amino-9ethylcarbazole (2) is showed in Scheme 2. The first step is oxidative addition of 4-chloro-2-methylbenzo $[h] q u i n o l i n e ~(1) ~ w i t h ~ C u I ~$ to form the intermediate $\mathbf{A}$ and compound $\mathbf{2}$ leads to the development of intermediate B. Finally, the intermediate $\mathbf{B}$ is subjected to a reductive elimination give to the compound $\mathbf{3}$ and regenerates the catalyst [16].

After getting potential intermediate 3, we have focused our attention to react it with various aliphatic, aromatic, and hetero substituted carboxylic acids thereby anticipating getting the naphthyridine derivative (Scheme 3). Hence 3 were subjected to Bernthsen reaction of $p$-toluicacid (4a) in presence of PPA as catalyst at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afford the two products, which was confirmed by TLC. Here the possibility of getting two products because in carbazole ring there are two active sites for cyclisation to take place in $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ position (linear) and $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ position (angular). The first eluted product from column chromatography shown that the FT-IR spectrum stretching frequencies at $1584 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1530 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for two $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ groups. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum showed two singlets at $\delta 2.30$ and $\delta 2.48$ was due to $C_{4}{ }^{\prime}$ and $C_{6}$ methyl protons. Methylene and methyl protons of ethyl group in $\mathrm{N}_{12}$ have appeared at $\delta 1.45(J=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz})$ as a triplet and $\delta 4.30(J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz})$ as a quartet, respectively. Other


Scheme 1. Synthesis of carbazol-4-aminoquinoline (3).


Scheme 2. CuI catalyzed proposed mechanism of 3.
aromatic protons are popped up between the regions $\delta 7.24-9.33$. Two doublets at $\delta 8.05(J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $8.15(\mathrm{~J}=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz})$ due to $\mathrm{C}_{13}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{14}$ protons, respectively, which is clearly showed the formed product was angular. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum revealed the existence of 36 carbons. Based on the spectral and analytical details confirmed the obtained product as angular product namely, 12-ethyl-6-methyl-7-tolyl-12H-naphtho[h]carbazol[2,3-c] [1,6]naphthyridine (5a). The second eluted product from column chromatography of the FT-IR spectrum displayed stretching frequencies at $1595 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1568 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and for two $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ groups, respectively. In its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound has two singlets at $\delta 2.26$ and $\delta 2.49$ were assigned for $\mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{\prime}$ - and $\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups, respectively. The methylene and methyl protons of ethyl group in $\mathrm{N}_{9}$ have occurred as two multiplets in the region $\delta 4.61-4.65$ and $\delta 1.60-1.62$, respectively. All the aromatic protons are demonstrated between the regions $\delta 6.75-9.31$. Presence of two singlets at $\delta 6.75$ and 8.61 are clearly confirms the formation of linear product. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum were explained the occurrence of 36 carbons and its mass spectrum indicated the molecular ion peak at $m / z 501$. All the aforesaid data revealed the product as linear product namely, 9-ethyl-6-methyl-7-tolyl-9H-naphtho $[h]$ carbazol $[2,3-b] \quad[1,6]$ naphthyridine ( $\mathbf{6 a}$ ). The same reaction was extended with other substituted aromatic carboxylic acids ( $p$-chlorobenzoic acid (4b), $p$ methoxy benzoic acid ( $\mathbf{4 c}$ ), 3-nitrobenzoic acid ( $\mathbf{4 d}$ ), and pyridine-3-carboxylic acid (4e)) to get corresponding linear and angular 8substituted naphtho $[h]$ carbazol $[1,6]$ naphthyridines ( $\mathbf{5 b} \mathbf{- e}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ e) (Scheme 3).

In all the cases the yield of the angular product was low and for linear product moderate by using PPA catalyst (Method A). Hence, with the intention of increasing the yield of the products, freshly prepared Eaton's reagent $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right.$ with $10: 1$ ratio) was considered as a suitable alternative to polyphosphoric acid. By using Eaton's reagent (Method B) the yield of the linear product was increased whereas the angular product remains in low yield. Comparisons of the yield of both products are given in Table 1.
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Scheme 3. Synthesis of angular and linear naphtho $[h]$ carbazolo naphthyridines ( $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ ). Reaction conditions: (i) Method $A=P P A$ as catalyst at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (ii) Method $\mathrm{B}=$ Eaton's reagent as catalyst at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 1
Synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ with yield comparison.

| S.No | Compounds | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | X | Yield(\%) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Method A | Method B |
| 1. | $\mathbf{5 a}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | C | 2 | 2 |
| 2. | $\mathbf{6 a}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | C | 45 | 68 |
| 3. | $\mathbf{5 b}$ | H | H | Cl | C | 2 | 3 |
| 4. | $\mathbf{6 b}$ | H | H | Cl | C | 40 | 72 |
| 5. | $\mathbf{5 c}$ | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | H | C | 3 | 3 |
| 6. | $\mathbf{6 c}$ | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | H | C | 47 | 66 |
| 7. | $\mathbf{5 d}$ | H | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | H | C | 2 | 3 |
| 8. | $\mathbf{6 d}$ | H | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | H | C | 41 | 62 |
| 9. | $\mathbf{5 e}$ | H | - | H | N | 2 | 2 |
| 10. | $\mathbf{6 e}$ | H | - | H | N | 21 | 42 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Isolated yield after purification by the column chromatography.
(i) Method A $=$ PPA as catalyst at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (ii) Method $\mathbf{B}=$ Eaton's reagent as catalyst at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The detailed mechanism of the Eaton's reagent catalyzed cyclisation of the compound $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ is represented in Scheme 4. The plausible mechanism for the formation of angular and linear naphthyridine, which may take place firstly by the conversion of $\mathbf{3}$ under Eaton's reagent, which is subsequently on electrophilic substitution under the influence of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$donated by Eaton's reagent afforded the intermediates II and $\mathbf{V}$ through the intermediates I and IV. Which on further aromatization and prototrophic shift with the elimination of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule through the intermediates III and VI ended up in the formation of final products 5 and $\mathbf{6}$ (Scheme 4). Initially, benzoylation takes place in a more viable C4-position of the carbazole moiety and then with an excess of benzoic acid, the reaction proceeds at the C2-position because of electrophilic substitution cum aromatization of carbazole moiety forming linear product is more yield compared to angular product [27]. This Eaton's reagent is a precise catalyst for the reaction of cyclizing cum aromatization agent followed by dehydration of the conversion of angular and linear naphthyridines in excellent yields compared with PPA.

### 3.2. In silico molecular docking

Molecular docking study was achieved to examine the interactions between phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase 1 (PDK-1) receptors and prepared synthesized compounds using Autodock4.0 [25]. The molecular docking studies were conducted to offer binding mode into the binding sites of phosphoinositidedependent protein (PDB ID: 3H9O) kinase 1 (PDK-1) receptors [7], which is compared with reference drugs ARC-111 and Ellipticine. The synthesized compounds were docked appropriately into PDK-1 receptor with the following respective parameters: (i) lowest binding energy (ii) lowest ligand efficiency (iii) lowest intermolecular energy and (iv) a greater number of hydrogen bonds. Table 2 demonstrates the binding energies of the synthesized docked compounds ( $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5 a}-\mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{6 a - e}$ ) with the PDK-1 inhibitors, which is compared with reference drugs ARC-111 and Ellipticine. According to Table 2 has shown the lowest binding energy value range between $-9.68 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to $-4.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and important interactions can be found between atoms and residues namely SER94, LYS111, LYS123, GLU130, TYR146, LYS207, ASP223, THR245, SER258, ALA259, GLU328, TRP347.

The screened compounds have high binding affinity to the target as their binding energy values range from $-9.68 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to $-4.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, which are higher than the reference drugs ARC111 and Ellipticine. We envisioned the binding energy of the standards, Ellipticine forms a hydrogen bond by interaction of the NH group of the carbazole moiety with the nitrogen atom of TRP347:0 $\left(2.6 A^{\circ}\right)$ with a binding energy is $-6.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with $-6.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ intermolecular binding energy, and ligand efficiency $-0.36 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mol (Fig. S1). ARC-111 drug forms two hydrogen bonding interaction of nitrogen atoms of LYS123:NZ (3.1A ${ }^{\circ}$ ), LYS123:NZ (3.5A ${ }^{\circ}$ ), respectively with binding energy is $-4.81 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, ligand efficiency $-0.16 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and $-6.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ intermolecular binding energy (Fig. S2).
$N$-(9-ethyl-9H-carbazol-3-yl)-2-methylbenzo[ $h$ ]quinolin-4amine (3) indicated the binding energy $-8.72 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $-9.62 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, intermolecular energy, ligand efficiency

Table 2
The energy value of phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase 1 (PDK-1) receptors interaction with compounds.

| compounds | Binding energy (kcal/mol) | Ligand efficiency (kcal/mol) | Intermolecular energy (kcal/mol) | Hydrogen bond residues |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Receptor atom | Ligand atom | Distance ( $\mathrm{A}^{\circ}$ ) |
| 3 | -8.72 | -0.28 | -9.62 | SER94:OG | H | 2.1 |
| 5a | -9.26 | -0.24 | -9.86 | LYS207:NZ | N | 3.1 |
| 6a | -7.54 | -0.19 | -8.14 | TYR146:OH | N | 2.8 |
| 5b | -4.6 | -0.12 | -5.19 | LYS123:NZ | N | 3.1 |
| 6b | -8.44 | -0.22 | -9.04 | LYS207:NZ | N | 2.1 |
| 5c | -4.67 | -0.12 | -5.56 | LYS207:NZ | N | 3.2 |
| 6c | -8.65 | -0.22 | -9.55 | LYS207:NZ | N | 3.1 |
|  |  |  |  | THR245:OG1 | N | 3.0 |
| 5d | $-9.68$ | -0.24 | -10.57 | LYS111:NZ | 0 | 3.5 |
|  |  |  |  | GLU130:0E2 | 0 | 3.0 |
|  |  |  |  | LYS207:NZ | N | 3.4 |
| 6d | $-9.53$ | -0.23 | -10.42 | SER258:0G | N | 2.5 |
|  |  |  |  | ALA259:N | N | 3.4 |
|  |  |  |  | GLU328:OE1 | N | 2.9 |
| 5e | -9.06 | -0.24 | -9.65 | LYS123:NZ | N | 3.5 |
|  | $-8.43$ | $-0.22$ | -9.03 | LYS207:NZ | N | 2.5 |
| ARC-111 | -4.81 | -0.16 | -6.3 | LYS123:NZ | N | 3.5 |
|  |  |  |  | ASP223:OD2 | N | 3.1 |
| Ellipticine | -6.9 | $-0.36$ | -6.9 | TRP347:0 | H | 2.6 |

( $-0.28 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) and it formed a hydrogen bond interaction of SER94:OG (2.1 $\mathrm{A}^{\circ}$ ) with carbazole ring nitrogen atom NH group. It was shown in Fig. 2. The compound (3), in comparison with standard drugs ARC-111 and Ellipticine, almost the synthesized compound (3) exhibited better binding efficiency.

12-Ethyl-6-methyl-7-aryl-12H-naphtho[ $h$ ]carbazol[2,3-c] [1,6] naphthyridine (5a-e) compounds displayed the docking scores ranging from $-9.68 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to $-4.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Among them, the compound ( $\mathbf{5 d}$ ) exposed the best lowest binding energy ( $-9.68 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), ligand efficiency ( $-0.24 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), lowest intermolecular energy ( $-10.57 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) and it forms three hydrogen
bonds by the interactions of LYS111:NZ, GLU130:OE2 with phenyl ring nitro group both oxygen atoms with respective bond residues are $3.5 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}$ and $3.0 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}$. The third hydrogen bond interaction of naphthyridine ring nitrogen atom with LYS207:NZ with bond residue is $3.4 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}$. It was shown in Fig. 3. Among all the compounds (5ae), in comparison with standard drugs ARC-111 and Ellipticine, almost all the synthesized compounds showed improved binding energy, but compound $\mathbf{5 d}$ displayed as the most potent binding affinity with PDK-1 inhibitors.

9-Ethyl-6-methyl-7-aryl-9H-naphtho[h]carbazol[2,3-b] [1,6] naphthyridine ( $\mathbf{6 a - e}$ ) compounds disclosed to the docking scores


Fig. 2. Graphical representation of PDK-1 receptor docked with compound 3.


Fig. 3. Graphical representation of PDK-1 receptor docked with compound 5d.


Fig. 4. Graphical representation of PDK-1 receptor docked with compound $\mathbf{6 d}$.
ranging from $-9.53 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to $-7.54 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Among them, the compound (6d) demonstrated the best lowest binding energy ( $-9.53 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), ligand efficiency ( $-0.23 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), lowest intermolecular energy ( $-10.42 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) and it formed three hydrogen bonds by the interactions of SER258:OG and ALA259:N with naphthyridine ring nitrogen atom respective bond residues are $2.5 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}$ and $3.4 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}$ and the other hydrogen interaction of nitrophenyl ring nitrogen atom with GLU328:OE1 with bond residue is $2.9 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}$. It was stated in Fig. 4. Among all the compounds ( $\mathbf{6 a - e}$ ), in comparison with standard drugs ARC-111 and Ellipticine, all the other synthesized compounds indicated adequate binding energy, in compound $\mathbf{6 d}$ displayed as the most potent binding affinity with PDK-1 inhibitors.

The anticipated binding mode can help us to excellent knowledge of the nature of interactions of these ( $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5 a} \mathbf{- e}$, and $\mathbf{6 a}-\mathbf{e}$ ) synthesized compounds. In evaluation of the standard ARC-111 and ellipticine, nearly all the synthesized compounds seemed potent binding efficacy. The compounds $\mathbf{5 d}$ and $\mathbf{6 d}$ showed more potent binding interaction compared with all other compounds and standard drugs. In general, it was noticed that all the synthesized
compounds (3, 5a-e and 6a-e, Figs. S3-S10) were docked with phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1 (PDK-1) inhibitors, and it revealed that all compounds have more potent binding affinity when compared to the standard drugs ARC-111 (Fig. S2) and Ellipticine (Fig. S1). Cartoon representation of the docking images (Figs. 2-4) are shown the receptor in grey and stick model shows the residue interaction in grey and compounds in green. Hydrogen bond interactions are represented in dotted magenta line.

Furthermore, studied compounds are optimized at B3LYP/6$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ level and no imaginary frequency is observed. Thermodynamic parameters which are total energy ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Total }}$ ), enthalpy $(\mathrm{H})$ and Gibbs free energy (G) are given in Table 3.

According to Table 3, the energy difference between compound 5 and 6 groups is nearly zero. All energy is almost similar to each other. However, it can be said that group 6 is slightly more stable than group 5. It can be seen from Scheme 3, steric repulsions are expected to be greater in group 5 compounds.

### 3.3. ADME analysis

ADME (absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion) study was carried out to identify the pharmacokinetics and druglikeness properties of the all docked compounds ( $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5 a}-\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{6 a}-\mathbf{e}$, ARC111 and Ellipticine) using SwissADME tool [28]. The criteria include molecular weight $\leq 500$; hydrogen bond donor (HBD) $\leq 5$, hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA) $\leq 10, \mathrm{iLog} P \leq 5$, rotatable bonds (RB) $\leq 9$ [26]. HBA (H-bond acceptors) and HBD (donors) in compounds satisfy with Lipinski's rule [29] of five and were found to be in the acceptable range except $\mathbf{5 a - d}$ and $\mathbf{6 a - d}$ compounds (Table 4). In addition to these parameters, some ADME parameters are given in supplemental material. According to these parameters, all values are within the confidence interval. According to this stage of compounds ( $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5 e}$ and $\mathbf{6 e}$ ) has obeyed and hence can be considered as good lead compounds in drug discovery. In general, we can decide that the investigated compounds exhibited good absorption, distribution, and oral bioavailability within the body by not having more than one violation of Lipinski's rule of five (Table 4). These results are validated for the effective design of the focus on compounds.

## 4. Conclusion

Current work towards the solvent free synthesis of novel angular and linear carbazole based naphtho naphthyridines are depicted in good yields using Eaton's reagent as a catalyst. This Eaton's reagent is a precise catalyst for the reaction of cyclizing cum aromatization agent followed by dehydration of the conversion of angular and linear naphthyridines in excellent yields compared with PPA. Further, the molecular docking studies were revealed that all the synthesized compounds displayed good binding energy

Table 3
Thermodynamic parameters of studied compounds.

| Compounds | Total Energy (Hertree) | Enthalpy (Hertree) | Gibbs Free Energy (Hertree) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | -1244.377075 | -1244.376131 | -1535.5333775 |
| $\mathbf{5 a}$ | -1535.440108 | -1535.439164 | -1535.533775 |
| $\mathbf{6 a}$ | -1535.440109 | -1535.439164 | -1955.834127 |
| $\mathbf{5 b}$ | -1955.742726 | -1955.741782 | -1955.834253 |
| $\mathbf{6 b}$ | -1955.742812 | -1955.741868 | -1610.704372 |
| $\mathbf{5 c}$ | -1610.609494 | -1610.608550 | -1610.704418 |
| $\mathbf{6 c}$ | -1610.609500 | -1610.608556 | -1700.670543 |
| $\mathbf{5 d}$ | -1700.575276 | -1700.574332 | -1512.279551 |
| $\mathbf{6 d}$ | -1700.575348 | -1512.189784 | -1512.279275 |
| $\mathbf{5 e}$ | -1512.190727 | -1512.189783 |  |
| $\mathbf{6 e}$ | -1512.190727 |  |  |

Table 4
ADMET profile and drug-likeness properties of reference drugs and synthesized compounds ( $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5 a}-\mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{6 a - e}$ ).

| Compounds | Property and recommended values |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mol. Weight ( $\leq 500 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) | HB Donor ( $\leq 5$ ) | HB Acceptor ( $\leq 10$ ) | Rotatable Bonds ( $\leq 9$ ) | iLOGP ( $\leq 5$ ) | Lipinski violation |
| 3 | 401.50 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4.01 | 0 |
| 5a | 501.62 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4.79 | 1 |
| 6a | 501.62 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5.03 | 1 |
| 5b | 522.04 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4.58 | 1 |
| 6b | 522.04 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4.78 | 1 |
| 5c | 517.62 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4.81 | 1 |
| 6c | 517.62 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4.99 | 1 |
| 5d | 533.60 | 1 | 4 | 3 | -1.92 | 1 |
| 6d | 534.61 | 2 | 5 | 3 | -1.76 | 1 |
| 5 e | 488.58 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4.30 | 0 |
| 6 e | 488.58 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4.43 | 0 |
| ARC-111 | 423.46 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 3.93 | 0 |
| Ellipticine | 246.31 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2.30 | 0 |
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Scheme 4. Plausible mechanism for the formation of products $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$.
towards of phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase 1 (PDK-1) inhibitors ranging from $-9.68 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to $-4.60 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, which are more potent than the reference drugs ARC-111 ( $-4.81 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) and Ellipticine ( $-6.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). The compounds $\mathbf{5 d}$ and $\mathbf{6 d}$ showed more potent binding interaction compared with all other compounds and standard drugs ARC111 and Ellipticine. Pharmacokinetic (ADME) parameters of the potent derivatives have also been found to an acceptable range. Lipinski's rule of compounds ( $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5 e}$ and $\mathbf{6 e}$ ) has obeyed and hence may be considered good lead compounds for the future drug discovery.
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[^1]:    2.4.4. 9-Ethyl-6-methyl-7-tolyl-9H-naphtho[h]carbazol[2,3-b] [1,6] naphthyridine (6a)

    Yellow solid; mp: $243^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Yield: (68\%); IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\nu_{\max }: 1595$, $1568(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (ppm) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.65(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{N}_{9}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.50 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $4.63\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}_{9}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{H}\right.$,

