**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 



# Wijsman Lacunary $\mathcal{I}$ -Invariant Convergence of Sequences of Sets

Erdinç Dündar<sup>1</sup> · Nimet Pancaroğlu Akın<sup>1</sup> · Uğur Ulusu<sup>1</sup>

Received: 30 December 2017/Revised: 7 March 2019/Accepted: 4 July 2020/Published online: 30 July 2020 © The National Academy of Sciences, India 2020

**Abstract** In this paper, we study the concepts of Wijsman lacunary  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant convergence  $(\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^W)$ , Wijsman lacunary  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -invariant convergence  $(\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{*W})$ , Wijsman *p*-strongly lacunary invariant convergence  $([WN_{\sigma\theta}]_p)$  of sequences of sets and investigate the relationships among Wijsman lacunary invariant convergence,  $[WN_{\sigma\theta}]_p$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^W$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{*W}$ . Also, we introduce the concepts of  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^W$ -Cauchy sequence and  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{*W}$ -Cauchy sequence of sets.

**Keywords** Lacunary sequence · Invariant convergence · *I*-convergence · Wijsman convergence · Cauchy sequence · Sequences of sets

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification** 40A05 · 40A35

#### **1** Introduction and Background

The concept of convergence of a sequence of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  has been extended to statistical convergence independently by Fast [1], Schoenberg [2] and studied by many authors. Nuray and Ruckle [3] introduced it with another name: generalized statistical convergence. The idea of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence was introduced by Kostyrko et al. [4] as a generalization of statistical convergence which is based on the structure of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of subset of positive integers  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Erdinç Dündar edundar@aku.edu.tr Nuray and Rhoades [5] extended convergence of set sequences to statistical convergence and gave some basic theorems. Ulusu and Nuray [6] defined the Wijsman lacunary statistical convergence of set sequences and considered its relation with Wijsman statistical convergence defined by Nuray and Rhoades. Kişi and Nuray [7] introduced a new convergence notion for sequence of sets called Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence. The concept of convergence of sequence of numbers has been extended by several authors to convergence of set sequences [8–17].

Several authors including Raimi [18], Schaefer [19], Mursaleen [20], Pancaroğlu and Nuray [21] have studied invariant convergent sequences. Nuray et al. [22] defined the concepts of  $\sigma$ -uniform density of any subsets A of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergence and investigated relationships between  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ convergence and invariant convergence also  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergence and  $[V_{\sigma}]_{p}$ -convergence. The concept of strongly  $\sigma$ convergence was defined by Mursaleen [23]. Savaş and Nuray [24] introduced the concepts of  $\sigma$ -statistical convergence and lacunary  $\sigma$ -statistical convergence and gave some inclusion relations. The concept of strong  $\sigma$ -convergence was generalized by Savaş [25]. Recently, Nuray and Ulusu [26] investigated lacunary  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant convergence and lacunary *I*-invariant Cauchy sequence of real numbers. Pancaroğlu Akın et al. [27] studied Wijsman I-invariant convergence of sequences of sets.

### **2** Definitions and Notations

Now, we recall the ideal convergence, invariant convergence, sequence of sets and basic definitions and concepts (see [4, 5, 13, 14, 18, 19, 21, 22, 26–30]).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Afyon Kocatepe University, Afyonkarahisar, Turkey

A family of sets  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called an ideal if and only if(i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ , (ii) For each  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$  we have  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ , (iii) For each  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and each  $B \subseteq A$  we have  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ .

An ideal is called nontrivial if  $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$  and nontrivial ideal is called admissible if  $\{n\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A family of sets  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called a filter if and only if(i)  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ , (ii) For each  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  we have  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$ , (iii) For each  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and each  $B \supseteq A$  we have  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

If  $\mathcal{I}$  is a nontrivial ideal in  $X, X \neq \emptyset$ , then the class

 $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{ M \subset X : (\exists A \in \mathcal{I}) (M = X \setminus A) \}$ 

is a filter on X, called the filter associated with  $\mathcal{I}$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be a mapping of the set of positive integers into itself. A continuous linear functional  $\phi$  on  $\ell_{\infty}$ , the space of real bounded sequences, is said to be an invariant mean or a  $\sigma$ -mean if and only if

- 1.  $\phi(x) \ge 0$ , when the sequence  $x = (x_n)$  has  $x_n \ge 0$  for all n,
- 2.  $\phi(e) = 1$ , where e = (1, 1, 1, ...), and
- 3.  $\phi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \phi(x_n)$  for all  $x \in \ell_{\infty}$ .

The mappings  $\sigma$  are one-to-one and such that  $\sigma^m(n) \neq n$ for all positive integers *n* and *m*, where  $\sigma^m(n)$  denotes the *m* th iterate of the mapping  $\sigma$  at *n*. Thus  $\phi$  extends the limit functional on *c*, the space of convergent sequences, in the sense that  $\phi(x) = \lim x$  for all  $x \in c$ . In the case  $\sigma$  is translation mappings  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ , the  $\sigma$ -mean is often called a Banach limit and  $V_{\sigma}$ , the set of bounded sequences all of whose invariant means are equal, is the set of almost convergent sequences [31].

It has been shown [32] that

$$V_{\sigma} = \left\{ x = (x_n) \in \ell_{\infty} : \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m x_{\sigma^k(n)} = L \right\}.$$

uniformly in n.

A bounded sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be strongly  $\sigma$ convergent to L if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}|x_{\sigma^k(m)}-L|=0,$$

uniformly in *m* and in this case, it is denoted by  $x_k \rightarrow L[V_{\sigma}]$ . By  $[V_{\sigma}]$ , we denote the set of all strongly  $\sigma$ -convergent sequences.

In the case  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ , the space  $[V_{\sigma}]$  is the set of strongly almost convergent sequences  $[\hat{c}]$ .

Nuray et al. [22] introduced the concepts of  $\sigma$ -uniform density and  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergence.

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $s_n = \min_{m} |A \cap \{\sigma(m), \sigma^2(m), \dots, \sigma^n(m)\}|$ and  $S_n = \max_{m} |A \cap \{\sigma(m), \sigma^2(m), \dots, \sigma^n(m)\}|.$ 

If the "limits  $\underline{V}(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{s_n}{n}$ ,  $\overline{V}(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{s_n}{n}$  exists then, they are called a lower and an upper  $\sigma$ -uniform

density of the set A, respectively. If  $\underline{V}(A) = \overline{V}(A)$ , then  $V(A) = \underline{V}(A) = \overline{V}(A)$  is called the  $\sigma$ -uniform density of A.

Denote by  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$  the class of all  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with V(A) = 0.

Throughout the paper, let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space,  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal and  $A, A_k$  be any non-empty closed subsets of X.

For any point  $x \in X$  and any non-empty subset A of X, we define the distance from x to A by

$$d(x,A) = \inf_{a \in A} \rho(x,a)$$

A sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is bounded if  $\sup d(x, A_k) < \infty$ , for each  $x \in X$ .  $L_{\infty}$  denotes the set of bounded sequences of sets.

A sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is said to be Wijsman invariant statistical convergent to A, if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ 

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\big|\big\{0\leq k\leq n: \big|d\big(x,A_{\sigma^k(m)}\big)-d(x,A)\big|\geq\varepsilon\big\}\big|=0,$$

uniformly in *m*. In this case, we write  $A_k \rightarrow A(WS_{\sigma})$  and the set of all Wijsman invariant statistical convergent sequences of sets is denoted by  $WS_{\sigma}$ .

A sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is said to be Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant convergent to A or  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^W$ -convergent to A if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  $A(\varepsilon, x) = \{k : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \ge \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma},$ 

that is,  $V(A(\varepsilon, x)) = 0$ . In this case, we write  $A_k \to A(\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^W)$ and the set of all Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant convergent sequences of sets is denoted by  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^W$ .

Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a separable metric space. The sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -invariant convergent or  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^{*W}$ -convergent to A if there exists a set  $M = \{m_1 < \cdots < m_k < \cdots\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_{\sigma})$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,

 $\lim_{k\to\infty}d(x,A_{m_k})=d(x,A).$ 

A sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is said to be Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant Cauchy sequence or  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^W$ -Cauchy sequence if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ , there exists a number  $N = N(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$A(\varepsilon, x) = \{k : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A_N)| \ge \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma},$$

that is,  $V(A(\varepsilon, x)) = 0$ .

A sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is said to be Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -invariant Cauchy sequence or  $\mathcal{I}^{*W}_{\sigma}$ -Cauchy sequence if there exists a set  $M = \{m_1 < \ldots < m_k < \ldots\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_{\sigma})$  such that

$$\lim_{k,p\to\infty} \left| d(x,A_{m_k}) - d(x,A_{m_p}) \right| = 0,$$

for each  $x \in X$ .

A sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is said to be Wijsman *p*-strongly invariant convergent to A, if for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \left|d\left(x,A_{\sigma^k(m)}\right)-d(x,A)\right|^p = 0,$$

uniformly in *m*, where 0 . In this case, we write $A_k \rightarrow A[WV_\sigma]_p$  and the set of all Wijsman *p*-strongly invariant convergent sequences of sets is denoted by  $[WV_{\sigma}]_{n}$ .

By a lacunary sequence we mean an increasing integer sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  such that  $k_0 = 0$  and  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow 0$  $\infty$  as  $r \to \infty$ . The intervals determined by  $\theta$  are denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r].$ 

The concept of lacunary strong  $\sigma$ -convergence was introduced by Savaş [32] as:

$$L_{\theta} = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{r} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_{\sigma^k(m)} - L| = 0 \right\},\$$

uniformly in m.

Pancaroğlu and Nuray [21] defined the concept of lacunary invariant summability and the space  $[V_{\sigma\theta}]_a$  as follows:

A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be lacunary invariant summable to L if

$$\lim_{r}\frac{1}{h_{r}}\sum_{m\in I_{r}}x_{\sigma^{m}(n)}=L,$$

uniformly in  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ 

A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be strongly lacunary qinvariant convergent  $(0 < q < \infty)$  to L if

$$\lim_{r}\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{m\in I_r}|x_{\sigma^m(n)}-L|^q=0,$$

uniformly in n = 1, 2, ... and we write  $x_k \to L([V_{\sigma\theta}]_q)$ . Let  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  be a lacunary sequence,  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and  $s_r = \min\{|A \cap \{\sigma^m(n) : m \in I_r\}|\}$  and  $S_r = \max\{|A \cap$  $\{\sigma^m(n): m^n \in I_r\}|\}.$ 

If the limits  $\underline{V}_{\theta}(A) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{s_r}{h}$  and  $\overline{V}_{\theta}(A) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{S_r}{h}$ exist then, they are called a lower lacunary  $\sigma$ -uniform (lower  $\sigma\theta$ -uniform) density and an upper lacunary  $\sigma$ -uniform (upper  $\sigma\theta$ -uniform) density of the set A, respectively. If  $\underline{V}_{\theta}(A) = \overline{V}_{\theta}(A)$ , then  $V_{\theta}(A) = \underline{V}_{\theta}(A) = \overline{V}_{\theta}(A)$  is called the lacunary  $\sigma$ -uniform density or  $\sigma\theta$ -uniform density of A.

Denoted by  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$  the class of all  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with  $V_{\theta}(A) = 0$ .

A sequence  $(x_k)$  is said to be lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergent to L or  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ -convergent to L if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $A_{\varepsilon} =$  $\{k: |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ , i.e.,  $V_{\theta}(A_{\varepsilon}) = 0$ . In this case, we write  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta} - \lim x_k = L$ .

The set of all  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ -convergent sequences is denoted by  $\Im_{\sigma\theta}$ .

A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}^*_{\sigma\theta}$ -convergent to the number L if there exists a set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \cdots\} \in$  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta})$  such that  $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_{m_k} = L$ . In this case, we write  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^* - \lim x_k = L.$ 

A sequence  $(x_k)$  is said to be lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -Cauchy sequence or  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ -Cauchy sequence if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a number  $N = N(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the set  $A(\varepsilon) =$  $\{k : |x_k - x_N| \ge \varepsilon\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ , i.e.,  $V_{\theta}(A(\varepsilon)) = 0$ .

A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}^*_{\sigma\theta}$ -Cauchy sequences if there exists a set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_k < \cdots\} \in$  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta})$  such that  $\lim_{k,p\to\infty} |x_{m_k} - x_{m_p}| = 0.$ 

A sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is said to be Wijsman lacunary invariant convergent to A, for each  $x \in X$ 

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r}d(x,A_{\sigma^k(m)})=d(x,A),$$

uniformly in m.

An admissible ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is said to satisfy the condition (AP) if for every countable family of mutually disjoint sets  $\{E_1, E_2, \ldots\}$  belonging to  $\mathcal{I}$  there exists a countable family of sets  $\{F_1, F_2, \ldots\}$  such that  $E_i \Delta F_i$  is a finite set for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $F = \bigcup F_i \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Several authors studied or ideal convergence and lacunary sequence (see [33-43]).

## **3 Main Results**

In this section, we study the concepts of Wijsman Lacunary  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant convergence ( $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{W}$ ), Wijsman Lacunary  $\mathcal{I}^{*}$ -invariant convergence  $(\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{*W})$ , Wijsman *p*-strongly Lacunary invariant convergence  $([WN_{\sigma\theta}]_p)$  and investigate the relationships among Wijsman Lacunary invariant convergence,  $[WN_{\sigma\theta}]_p$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^W$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{*W}$ .

**Definition 3.1** Asequence  $\{A_k\}$  is said to be Wijsman lacunary  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant convergent or  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{W}$ -convergent to A if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ , the set

$$A(\varepsilon, x) = \{k : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \ge \varepsilon\}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ , that is,  $V_{\theta}(A(\varepsilon, x)) = 0$ . In this case, we write  $A_k \to A(\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^W)$  and the set of all Wijsman lacunary  $\mathcal{I}$ invariant convergent sequences of sets is denoted by  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{W}$ .

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $\{A_k\}$  is bounded sequence. If  $\{A_k\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^W$ -convergent to A, then  $\{A_k\}$  is Wijsman lacunary invariant convergent to A.

*Proof* Let  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $x \in X$ , we calculate

$$t(m,r,x):=\left|\frac{d(x,A_{\sigma(m)})+d(x,A_{\sigma^2(m)})+\ldots+d(x,A_{\sigma^n(m)})}{h_r}-d(x,A)\right|.$$

Then, for each  $x \in X$  we have

$$t(m, r, x) \le t^1(m, r, x) + t^2(m, r, x),$$

where

$$t^{1}(m,r,x) := \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_{r} \\ \left| d\left(x, A_{\sigma^{j}(m)}\right) - d(x,A) \right| \ge \varepsilon}} \left| d\left(x, A_{\sigma^{j}(m)}\right) - d(x,A) \right|$$

and

$$t^{2}(m,r,x) := \frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_{r} \\ \left| d\left(x, A_{\sigma^{j}(m)}\right) - d(x,A) \right| < \varepsilon}} \left| d\left(x, A_{\sigma^{j}(m)}\right) - d(x,A) \right|$$

Therefore, we have  $t^2(m, r, x) < \varepsilon$  for each  $x \in X$  and for every m = 1, 2, ... The boundedness of  $\{A_k\}$  implies that there exist T > 0 such that for each  $x \in X$ 

$$\left|d(x,A_{\sigma^{j}(m)})-d(x,A)\right| \leq T, (j \in I_{r}; m=1,2...)$$

and this implies that

$$t^{1}(m,r,x) \leq \frac{T}{h_{r}} \left| \left\{ j \in I_{r} : \left| d\left(x, A_{\sigma^{j}(m)}\right) - d(x,A) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|$$
  
$$\leq T \frac{\max_{m} \left| \left\{ j \in I_{r} : \left| d\left(x, A_{\sigma^{j}(m)}\right) - d(x,A) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|}{h_{r}}$$
  
$$= T \frac{S_{r}}{h_{r}}.$$

Hence,  $\{A_k\}$  is Wijsman lacunary invariant convergent to A.

**Definition 3.2** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a separable metric space. A sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is Wijsman lacunary  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -invariant convergent or  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{*W}$ -convergent to A if there exists a set  $M = \{m_1 < \cdots < m_k < \cdots\} \in F(\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta})$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} d(x, A_{m_k}) = d(x, A)$ .

**Theorem 3.2** If a sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{*W}$ -convergent to A, then  $\{A_k\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{W}$ -convergent to A.

*Proof* By assumption, there exists a set  $H \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$  such that for  $M = \mathbb{N} \setminus H = \{m_1 < \cdots < m_k < \cdots\}$  we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} d(x, A_{m_k}) = d(x, A), \tag{3.1}$$

for each  $x \in X$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By Eq. 3.1, there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $x \in X$ 

$$|d(x,A_{m_k})-d(x,A)|<\varepsilon$$

for each  $k > k_0$ . Then, obviously

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \ge \varepsilon\}$$
  

$$\subset H \cup \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_{k_0}\}.$$
(3.2)

Since  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$  is admissible, the set on the right-hand side of Eq. (3.2) belongs to  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ . So  $\{A_k\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^W$ -convergent to A.

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal with the property (AP). If  $\{A_k\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^W$ -convergent to A, then  $\{A_k\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{*W}$ -convergent to A.

*Proof* Suppose that  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$  satisfies condition (AP) and  $\{A_k\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}^W_{\sigma\theta}$ -convergent to *A*. Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ 

$$\{k: |d(x,A_k) - d(x,A)| \ge \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}.$$

Put  $E_1 = \{k : |d(x,A_k) - d(x,A)| \ge 1\}$  and  $E_n = \{k : \frac{1}{n} \le |d(x,A_k) - d(x,A)| < \frac{1}{n-1}\}$ , for  $n \ge 2$  and for each  $x \in X$ . Obviously  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ , for  $i \ne j$ . By condition (AP) there exists a sequence of  $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $E_j \Delta F_j$  are finite sets for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $F = \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j\right) \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ . It is sufficient to prove that for  $M = \mathbb{N} \setminus F$  and for each  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} d(x, A_k) = d(x, A), (k \in M).$$
(3.3)

Let  $\lambda > 0$ . Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n+1} < \lambda$ . Then, for each  $x \in X$ 

$$\{k: |d(x,A_k)-d(x,A)| \ge \lambda\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j.$$

Since  $E_j \Delta F_j$ , j = 1, 2, ..., n + 1 are finite sets, there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n+1} F_j\right) \cap \{k : k > k_0\} = \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j\right) \cap \{k : k > k_0\}.$$
(3.4)

If  $k_n \ge 1 k_0$  and  $k \notin F$ , then  $k \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{n+1} F_j$  and by Eq. (3.4)  $k \notin \bigcup_{j=1} E_j$ . But then  $|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| < \frac{1}{n+1} < \lambda$ ,

So Eq. (3.3) holds and  $\{A_k\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{*W}$ -convergent to A.

Now, we define the concepts of Wijsman lacunary  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant Cauchy sequence and Wijsman lacunary  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -invariant Cauchy sequence of sets.

**Definition 3.3** Asequence  $\{A_k\}$  is said to be Wijsman lacunary  $\mathcal{I}$ -invariant Cauchy sequence or  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma 0}^W$ -Cauchy sequence if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ , there exists a number  $N = N(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$A(\varepsilon, x) = \{k : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A_N)| \ge \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$$

that is,  $V_{\theta}(A(\varepsilon, x)) = 0$ .

**Definition 3.4** Asequence  $\{A_k\}$  is said to be Wijsman lacunary  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -invariant Cauchy sequence or  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{*W}$ -Cauchy sequence if there exists a set  $M = \{m_1 < \ldots < m_k < \ldots\} \in F(\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta})$  such that

$$\lim_{k,p\to\infty} \left| d(x,A_{m_k}) - d(x,A_{m_p}) \right| = 0,$$

for each  $x \in X$ .

We give following theorems which show relationships among  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{W}$ -convergence,  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{W}$ -Cauchy sequence and  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{*W}$ -Cauchy sequence. The proof of them are similar to the proof of Theorems in [44, 45], so we omit them.

**Theorem 3.4** If a sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}^W_{\sigma\theta}$ -convergent, then  $\{A_k\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}^W_{\sigma\theta}$ -Cauchy sequence.

**Theorem 3.5** If a sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{*W}$ -Cauchy sequence, then  $\{A_k\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{W}$ -Cauchy sequence.

**Theorem 3.6** Let  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$  has property (AP). Then the concepts  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{W}$ -Cauchy sequence and  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{*W}$ -Cauchy sequence coincides.

**Definition 3.5** The sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is said to be Wijsman *p*-strongly lacunary invariant convergent to A, if for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left|d\big(x,A_{\sigma^k(m)}\big)-d(x,A)\right|=0,$$

uniformly in m, where  $0 . In this case, we write <math>A_k \rightarrow A[WN_{\sigma\theta}]_p$  and the set of all Wijsman p-strongly lacunary invariant convergent sequences of sets is denoted by  $[WN_{\sigma\theta}]_p$ .

**Theorem 3.7** Let  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal and 0 .

(i) If 
$$A_k \to A([WN_{\sigma\theta}]_n)$$
, then  $A_k \to A(\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^W)$ .

(ii) If 
$$\{A_k\}^{\mathsf{L}} \in L_{\infty}$$
 and  $A_k \to A(\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^W)$ , then  $A_k \to A([WN_{\sigma\theta}]_{\tau})$ .

(iii) If  $\{A_k\} \in L_{\infty}$ , then  $\{A_k\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}^W_{\sigma\theta}$ -convergent to A if and only if  $A_k \to A([WN_{\sigma\theta}]_p)$ .

*Proof* (i): If  $A_k \to A([WN_{\sigma\theta}]_p)$ , then for  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$  we write

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j \in I_r} & \left| d\left(x, A_{\sigma^j(m)}\right) - d(x, A) \right|^p \geq \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left(x, A_{\sigma^j(m)}\right) - d(x, A) \right|^p \\ \geq \varepsilon^p \left| \left\{ j \in I_r : \left| d\left(x, A_{\sigma^j(m)}\right) - d(x, A) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ \geq \varepsilon^p \max_m \left| \left\{ j \in I_r : \left| d\left(x, A_{\sigma^j(m)}\right) - d(x, A) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \end{split}$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{j \in I_r} \left| d\left(x, A_{\sigma^j(m)}\right) - d(x, A) \right|^p$$

$$\geq \varepsilon^p \frac{\max_m \left| \left\{ j \in I_r : \left| d\left(x, A_{\sigma^j(m)}\right) - d(x, A) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|}{h_r}$$

$$= \varepsilon^p \frac{S_r}{r}$$

for every m = 1, 2, ... This implies  $\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{S_r}{r} = 0$  and therefore,  $\{A_k\}$  is  $(\mathcal{I}^W_{\sigma\theta})$ -convergent to A.

521

(*ii*): Suppose that  $\{A_k\} \in L_{\infty}$  and  $A_k \to A(\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^W)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By assumption we have  $V_{\theta}(A(\varepsilon, x)) = 0$ . Since  $\{A_k\}$  is bounded, there exist T > 0 such that for each  $x \in X$ 

for all j and m. Then, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{h_r} & \sum_{j \in I_r} \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right|^p \\ &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{j \in I_r \\ \left| d\left( x, A_{\sigma^j(m)} \right) - d\left( x, A \right) \right| \ge \varepsilon}$$

for each  $x \in X$ . Hence, for each  $x \in X$  we obtain

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{j\in I_r}\left|d\left(x,A_{\sigma^j(m)}\right)-d(x,A)\right|^p=0,$$

uniformly in *m*.

(iii): This is immediate consequence of (*i*) and (*ii*). Now, we state a theorem that gives a relationship between  $WS_{\sigma\theta}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^W$ .

**Theorem 3.8** Asequence  $\{A_k\}$  is  $WS_{\sigma\theta}$ -convergent to A if and only if it is  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^W$ -convergent to A.

Acknowledgements This study is supported by Afyon Kocatepe University Scientific Research Coordination Unit with the project number 17.KARİYER.20 conducted by Erdinç Dündar.

#### References

- 1. Fast H (1951) Sur la convergence statistique. Colloq Math 2:241–244
- Schoenberg IJ (1959) The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods. Am Math Monthly 66:361–375
- Nuray F, Ruckle WH (2000) Generalized statistical convergence and convergence free spaces. J Math Anal Appl 245:513–527
- Kostyrko P, Šalát T, Wilczyński W (2000) *I*-Convergence. Real Anal Exchange 6(2):669–686
- Nuray F, Rhoades BE (2012) Statistical convergence of sequences of sets. Fasc Math 49:87–99
- Ulusu U, Nuray F (2012) Lacunary statistical convergence of sequence of sets. Progress Appl Math 4(2):99–109
- Kişi Ö, Nuray F (2013) A new convergence for sequences of sets. Abstract Appl Anal. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/852796
- Baronti M, Papini P (1986) Convergence of sequences of sets. Methods of functional analysis in approximation theory. Birkhäuser, Basel, pp 133–155

- 9. Beer G (1985) On convergence of closed sets in a metric space and distance functions. Bull Aust Math Soc 31:421–432
- 10. Beer G (1994) Wijsman convergence: a survey. Set-Valued Anal 2:77–94
- 11. Sever Y, Ulusu U, Dündar E (2014) On strongly  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -lacunary convergence of sequences of sets. In: AIP conference proceedings, vol 1611, no 357, pp 7
- Talo Ö, Sever Y, Başar F (2016) On statistically convergent sequences of closed set. Filomat 30(6):1497–1509
- Wijsman RA (1964) Convergence of sequences of convex sets, cones and functions. Bull Am Math Soc 70:186–188
- Wijsman RA (1966) Convergence of sequences of convex sets, cones and functions II. Trans Am Math Soc 123(1):32–45
- Ulusu U, Nuray F (2015) Lacunary statistical summability of sequences of sets. Konuralp J Math 3(2):176–184
- Ulusu U, Nuray F (2013) On strongly lacunary summability of sequences of sets. J Appl Math Bioinf 3(3):75–88
- Ulusu U, Dündar E (2013) *I*-lacunary statistical convergence of sequences of sets. Filomat 28(8):1567–1574
- Raimi RA (1963) Invariant means and invariant matrix methods of summability. Duke Math J 30:81–94
- Schaefer P (1972) Infinite matrices and invariant means. Proc Am Math Soc 36:104–110
- Mursaleen M (1979) On finite matrices and invariant means. Indian J Pure and Appl Math 10:457–460
- Pancaroğlu N, Nuray F (2013) Statistical lacunary invariant summability. Theor Math Appl 3(2):71–78
- 22. Nuray F, Gök H, Ulusu U (2011)  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}\text{-convergence}.$  Math Commun 16:531–538
- Mursaleen M (1983) Matrix transformation between some new sequence spaces. Houston J Math 9:505–509
- 24. Savaş E, Nuray F (1993) On  $\sigma$ -statistically convergence and lacunary  $\sigma$ -statistically convergence. Math Slovaca 43(3):309–315
- 25. Savaş E (1989) Some sequence spaces involving invariant means. Indian J Math 31:1–8
- 26. Ulusu U, Nuray F (in review) Lacunary  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergence
- 27. Pancaroğlu Akın N, Dündar E, Nuray F (in review) Wijsman *I*-invariant convergence of sequences of sets
- Mursaleen M, Edely OHH (2009) On the invariant mean and statistical convergence. Appl Math Lett 22:1700–1704
- Nuray F, Savaş E (1994) Invariant statistical convergence and Ainvariant statistical convergence. Indian J Pure Appl Math 10:267–274

- Pancaroğlu N, Nuray F (2013) On invariant statistically convergence and lacunary invariant statistically convergence of sequences of sets. Progress Appl Math 5(2):23–29
- Lorentz G (1948) A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences. Acta Math 80:167–190
- 32. Savaş E (1989) Strong  $\sigma\text{-convergent}$  sequences. Bull Calcutta Math 81:295–300
- 33. Nath M, Nath B, Roy S (2017) On some new classes of ideal convergent triple sequences of fuzzy numbers associated with multiplier sequences. Adv Fuzzy Sets Syst 22(1):1–23
- 34. Saha S, Roy S (accepted) Classes of multiplier ideal convergent triple sequence spaces of fuzzy real numbers defined by Orlicz function. Kuwait J Sci
- Saha S, Roy S (2017) Some *I*-convergent triple sequence spaces of fuzzy numbers defined by Orlicz function. Int J Control Theory Appl 10(19):115
- Saha S, Roy S (2016) On lacunary *p*-absolutely summable fuzzy real-valued triple sequence space. Int J Adv Inf Sci Technol 55(55):84
- Mursaleen M, Mohiuddine SA, Edely OHH (2010) On the ideal convergence of double sequences in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces. Comput Math Appl 59(2):603–611
- Mursaleen M, Mohiuddine SA (2010) On ideal convergence of double sequences in probabilistic normed spaces. Math Rep 12(62):359–371
- 39. Mursaleen M, Alotaibi A (2011) On  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence in random 2-normed spaces. Mathematica Slovaca 61(6):933–940
- Mursaleen M, Mohiuddine S (2012) On ideal convergence in probabilistic normed spaces. Mathematica Slovaca 62(1):49–62
- 41. Dündar E, Altay B (2014)  $I_2$ -convergence and  $I_2$ -Cauchy double sequences. Acta Mathematica Scientia 34(2):343–353
- Ulusu U, Dündar E (2019) Asymptotically lacunary *I*<sub>2</sub>-invariant equivalence. J Intell Fuzzy Syst 36(1):467–472
- 43. Dündar E, Talo O (2013)  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergence of double sequences of fuzzy numbers. Iranian J Fuzzy Syst 10(3):37–50
- 44. Dems K (2004/2005) On  $\mathcal{I}$ -cauchy sequences. Real Anal Exchange 30:123–128
- Nabiev A, Pehlivan S, Gürdal M (2007) On *I*-cauchy sequences. Taiwanese J Math 11(2):569–5764

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.