



SOME ALGEBRAIC PROPERTIES OF GENERALIZED q - CESÀRO MATRIX $C_g(q)$

HASRET YAZARLI

Received 28 January, 2022

Abstract. In this paper, we define the generalized q -Cesàro matrix by using generalized Cesàro matrix and q -Cesàro matrix. We investigate normality, self-adjointness and Hilbert-Schmidt properties of generalized q -Cesàro matrices.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 47A05; 47A16; 47B15; 32A35

Keywords: Cesàro matrix, q -Cesàro matrix, generalized q -Cesàro matrix

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], Bustoz and Gordillo defined the q -Cesàro matrix. For $0 < q < 1$, q -Cesàro matrix $C_1(q)$ is defined by

$$c_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{q^{n-k}}{1+q+\dots+q^n} & , \quad 0 < n \leq k \\ 0 & , \quad n < k \end{cases} .$$

Since $c_{nk}(q) \rightarrow \frac{1}{n+1}$, for $q \rightarrow 1$, the q -analog of $C_1 = (c_{nk})$ is matrix $C_1(q)$ where the entries of Cesàro matrix are

$$c_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1} & , \quad 0 < k \leq n \\ 0 & , \quad n < k \end{cases}$$

and q -analog of the integer n is

$$[n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}, \quad (q \neq 1).$$

In [3] Durna and Türkay obtained the spectrum of q -Cesàro matrix $C_1(q)$ on the space of convergent sequences c . Also, they calculated spectral decomposition in the sense of Goldberg. In [6] El Shabrawy investigated boundedness, compactness and spectra of q -Cesàro matrix $C_1(q)$.

Let us denote the space $H(\mathbb{D}) = \{f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is an analytic function}\}$, on \mathbb{D} the standard Hardy space H^p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) where \mathbb{D} is a unit disk, and p -summable

complex-valued sequences on the set of non-negative integers ℓ^p . You can see [7] for details.

Previously, some authors have generalized various matrix transformations. For example, Young generalized the Cesàro matrix in his PhD thesis ([10]). For all $f \in H^2$ the generalized Cesàro operator with the symbol g is defined as

$$C_g(f)(z) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z f(t)g(t)dt.$$

Here, g is analytic in \mathbb{D} and has Taylor series representation $g(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j$, where $\{z^{n-1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the standard basis of H^2 . The matrix form of C_g in the standard basis is

$$C_g = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{a_1}{2} & \frac{a_0}{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{a_2}{3} & \frac{a_1}{3} & \frac{a_0}{3} & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{a_3}{4} & \frac{a_2}{4} & \frac{a_1}{4} & \frac{a_0}{4} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

And so, one can express entries of matrix C_g as follows:

$$(c_g)_{nj} = \begin{cases} \frac{a_{n-j}}{n} & , n \geq j \\ 0 & , n < j \end{cases}.$$

For all $0 < q < 1$ we can define the generalized q -Cesàro matrix $C_g(q)$ by

$$C_g(q) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{q}{1+q}a_1 & \frac{1}{1+q}a_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{q^2}{1+q+q^2}a_2 & \frac{q}{1+q+q^2}a_1 & \frac{1}{1+q+q^2}a_0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

and we have

$$(c_q(q))_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{q^{n-k}}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}}a_{n-k} & , 0 \leq k \leq n \\ 0 & , n < k \end{cases}. \quad (1.1)$$

Since $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} (c_q(q))_{nk} = \frac{a_{n-k}}{n}$, matrix $C_g(q)$ is a q -analog of generalized Cesàro matrix C_g . If we take $g(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$ and limit for $q \rightarrow 1$, then we obtain $C_g(q) = C(q)$. Thus generalized q -Cesàro matrix contains both of generalized Cesàro matrix and ordinary Cesàro matrix.

The conjugate transpose of the matrix $C_g(q)$ is

$$C_g^*(q) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a}_0 & \frac{q}{1+q}\bar{a}_1 & \frac{q^2}{1+q+q^2}\bar{a}_2 & \cdots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1+q}\bar{a}_0 & \frac{q}{1+q+q^2}\bar{a}_1 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{1+q+q^2}\bar{a}_0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

and so,

$$(c_g^*(q))_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{q^{n-k}}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}}\bar{a}_{n-k} & , \quad 0 \leq n \leq k \\ 0 & , \quad k < n \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Inspired by the relationship between Rhaly and Cesàro matrices, Durna and Yıldırım in [4] defined the generalized Rhaly matrix using the generalized Cesàro matrix. They also investigated the topological properties of the generalized Rhaly matrix. In [5], Durna and Yıldırım show that if an infinite matrix B is commutative with every generalized terraced matrix, then $B = kI$, where I is a unit matrix and $k \in \mathbb{C}$. Also, they investigated normality and self-adjointedness of generalized terraced matrix. Now, let us examine normality and self-adjointedness of generalized q -Cesàro matrix $C_g(q)$.

2. RESULTS

Theorem 1. $C_g(q)$ is a normal matrix iff for $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $g(z) = c$.

Proof. We consider $(1, 1)$ - entries of $C_g(q)C_g^*(q)$ and $C_g^*(q)C_g(q)$:

$$\begin{aligned} [C_g(q)C_g^*(q)]_{11} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (c_g(q))_{1k} (c_g^*(q))_{k1} \\ &= (c_g(q))_{11} (c_g^*(q))_{11} \\ &= a_0\bar{a}_0 = |a_0|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [C_g^*(q)C_g(q)]_{11} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (c_g^*(q))_{k1} (c_g(q))_{1k} \\ &= a_0\bar{a}_0 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k-1}}{1+q+\dots+q^{k-1}}\bar{a}_{k-1} \frac{q^{k-1}}{1+q+\dots+q^{k-1}}a_{k-1} \\ &= |a_0|^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{q^{k-1}}{1+q+\dots+q^{k-1}} \right)^2 |a_{k-1}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_g(q)$ is a normal matrix, we get $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{q^{k-1}}{1+q+\dots+q^{k-1}} \right)^2 |a_{k-1}|^2 = 0$. From here, we obtain that for all $i > 1$, $a_i = 0$. And so, $g(z) = a_0$, $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Conversely, if $g(z) = a_0$, $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ then we have

$$C_g(q) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1+q}a_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{1+q+q^2}a_0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

hence $C_g(q)$ is normal. \square

Corollary 1. C_1 is not normal.

Corollary 2. $C_1(q)$ is not normal.

Theorem 2. $C_g(q)$ is a self-adjoint matrix iff for $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $g(z) = c$.

Proof. From (1.1) and (1.2), $a_0 = \bar{a}_0$ and

$$\frac{q}{1+q}a_1 = \frac{q^2}{1+q+q^2}a_2 = \frac{q^3}{1+q+q^2+q^3}a_3 \cdots = 0.$$

Since, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\frac{q^n}{1+q+\cdots+q^n} \neq 0$, then

$$a_0 = \bar{a}_0, a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = \cdots = 0.$$

Hence $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g(z) = a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

The other direction is obvious. \square

Corollary 3. C_1 is not self-adjoint.

Corollary 4. $C_1(q)$ is not self-adjoint.

Note that if $n \geq 0$ and if $T_{ij} = 0$ for $j < i + n$, we say that T is an n -triangular operator matrix ([9]).

Lemma 1 ([9, Theorem 2]). Let $T = (T_{ij}) \in \mathcal{L}\left(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}\right)$ be a 1-triangular operator matrix. Suppose that $T_{i,i+1}$ has dense range for all i . Then T is cyclic.

Lemma 2 ([2, Proposition 3.6]). Let T be a triangular operator whose diagonal entries with respect to some orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} are distinct. Then T is cyclic.

Theorem 3. $C_g^*(q)$ is cyclic for all $g \in \mathcal{B}$, where

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \int_0^z g(t) dt \text{ is a bounded mean oscillation function} \right\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $g(0) = 0$. From Lemma 1, we obtain the state of the theorem.

Let $g(0) \neq 0$. Therefore the diagonal entries of matrix $C_g^*(q)$ are distinct. And so, from Lemma 2, $C_g^*(q)$ is cyclic. \square

Theorem 4. *If $g_{\beta}(z) = g(\beta z)$ with $|\beta| = 1$, then $C_{g_{\beta}}(q)$ is unitarily equivalent to $C_g(q)$. We denote this by $C_{g_{\beta}}(q) \cong C_g(q)$.*

Proof. Define the map $U_{\beta} : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$ by $U_{\beta}(f)(z) = f(\beta z)$. It is easy to see that U_{β} is unitary with $U_{\beta}^* = U_{\bar{\beta}}$.

Now, to show the unitary equivalence, we must prove that $U_{\beta}^* C_{g_{\beta}}(q) U_{\beta} = C_g(q)$.

The matrix representation of U_{β} in the basis $\{z^{n-1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the diagonal matrix $diag\{\beta^n\}$. Moreover, we know that $(U_{\beta})^* = U_{\bar{\beta}} = (U_{\beta})^{-1}$. Using these matrix representations we have $U_{\beta}^* C_{g_{\beta}}(q) U_{\beta} = C_g(q)$ and consequently $C_{g_{\beta}}(q) \cong C_g(q)$ is obtained. \square

Corollary 5. *If $\beta \in \partial(\mathbb{D})$, then the spectrum of $C_{\frac{1}{1-\beta z}}(q)$ is*

$$\sigma\left(C_{\frac{1}{1-\beta z}}(q)\right) = \sigma(C(q)) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{1+q} \right| \leq \frac{q}{1+q} \right\}.$$

Proof. This is immediate from the unitary equivalence and [6], Theorem 2.2. \square

In [8] Mursaleen et al. studied the spectrum and Hilbert Schmidt properties of generalized Rhaly matrices. Now, let us show that $C_g(q)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. For this, we shall give the following lemma.

Lemma 3 ([8]). *If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, $B_{j-1} = 0$, $B_k = \sum_{k=j}^n \beta^k$ for $k = j, \dots, n$ and (a_k) is a positive decreasing sequence, then*

$$\left| \sum_{k=j}^n \frac{\beta^k}{(a_k)^{\alpha}} \right| \leq \frac{2}{|1-\beta|} \left(\frac{1}{a_n^{\alpha}} + \left| \frac{1}{a_j^{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{a_n^{\alpha}} \right| \right).$$

The set of all Hilbert Schmidt operators on H is denoted by $B_2(H)$.

Theorem 5. *If $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$, then $C_{\beta_1}(q)C_{\beta_2}(q)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.*

Proof. Notice that

$$C_{\beta_1}(q)C_{\beta_2}(q) \in B_2(H^2) \Leftrightarrow U_{\beta_2}^* C_{\beta_1}(q) U_{\beta_2} U_{\beta_2}^* C_{\beta_2}(q) U_{\beta_2} \in B_2(H^2).$$

Since $U_{\beta_2}^* C_{\beta_2}(q) U_{\beta_2} = C(q)$, then we can assume $\beta_2 = 1$. Rewrite β_1 as β . Since $C_{\beta}(q) = C_{\frac{1}{1-\beta z}}(q)$, we have

$$[C_{\beta}(q)]_{nj} = \begin{cases} \frac{(\beta q)^{n-j}}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}}, & n \geq j \\ 0, & n < j \end{cases}, \quad n, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since $[C(q)]_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{q^{n-k}}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}}, & n \geq k \\ 0, & n < k \end{cases}, \quad n, k = 1, 2, \dots$, we get that

$$[C_{\beta}(q)C(q)]_{nj} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}} \sum_{k=j}^n (\beta q)^{n-k} \frac{q^{k-j}}{1+\dots+q^{k-1}}, & n \geq j \\ 0, & n < j \end{cases}, \quad n, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\beta^n q^n q^{-j}}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}} \sum_{k=j}^n \frac{\bar{\beta}^k}{1+\dots+q^{k-1}}, & n \geq j \\ 0, & n < j \end{cases}, \quad n, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

From here,

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_{\beta}(q)C(q)\|_{H.S}^2 &= \sum_{n,j} \left| [C_{\beta}(q)C(q)]_{nj} \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \left| [C_{\beta}(q)C(q)]_{nj} \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{2n} q^{2n}}{(1+q+\dots+q^{n-1})^2} \sum_{j=1}^n q^{-2j} \left| \frac{\bar{\beta}^k}{1+q+\dots+q^{k-1}} \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_{k=j}^n \frac{\bar{\beta}^k}{1+\dots+q^{k-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{|1-\bar{\beta}|} \left(\frac{1}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}} + \left| \frac{1}{1+\dots+q^{j-1}} - \frac{1}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}} \right| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{|1-\bar{\beta}|(1+q+\dots+q^{j-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

We use this in the last equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_{\beta}(q)C(q)\|_{H.S}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{2n} q^{2n}}{(1+q+\dots+q^{n-1})^2} \sum_{j=1}^n q^{-2j} \left| \frac{\bar{\beta}^k}{1+q+\dots+q^{k-1}} \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4}{|1-\bar{\beta}|^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{2n} q^{2n}}{(1+q+\dots+q^{n-1})^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{q^{-2j}}{|1+q+\dots+q^{k-1}|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{4}{|1-\bar{\beta}|^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{2n} q^{2n}}{(1+q+\dots+q^{n-1})^2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $C_{\beta}(q)C(q)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. \square

Theorem 6. *If $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$, then $(C_{\beta_1}(q))^* C_{\beta_2}(q)$ and $C_{\beta_1}(q) (C_{\beta_2}(q))^*$ are Hilbert-Schmidt operators.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, let us take $\beta_1 = \beta$. Firstly, we will show that $(C_{\beta_1}(q))^* C_{\beta_2}(q) \in B_2(H^2)$.

$$[(C_{\beta}(q))^*]_{nj} = \begin{cases} 0, & n > j \\ \frac{\bar{\beta}^{n-j} q^{n-j}}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}}, & n \leq j \end{cases}, \quad n, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore,

$$[(C_{\beta}(q))^* C(q)]_{nj} = \frac{\bar{\beta}^n q^n q^{-j}}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}} \sum_{k=\max\{n,j\}}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^k q^k}{1+q+\dots+q^{k-1}}, \quad n, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=n}^m \frac{\beta^k q^k}{1+q+\dots+q^{k-1}} \\ & \leq \frac{2}{|1-q\beta|} \left(\frac{q^{n-1}}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}} + \left| \frac{q^{j-1}}{1+q+\dots+q^{j-1}} - \frac{q^{n-1}}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}} \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{2q^{j-1}}{|1-q\beta|(1+q+\dots+q^{j-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(C_{\beta}(q))^* C(q)]_{nj} &= \sum_{n,j=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^k q^k}{1+q+\dots+q^{k-1}} \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{4}{|1-q\beta|^2} \frac{q^{2j-2}}{(1+q+\dots+q^{k-1})^2} \\ &= \frac{4}{|1-q\beta|^2} \sum_{n,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2j-2}}{(1+q+\dots+q^{k-1})^2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(C_{\beta_1}(q))^* C_{\beta_2}(q)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Now, let us show that $C_{\beta}(q)(C(q))^* \in B_2(H^2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} [C_{\beta}(q)(C(q))^*]_{nj} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{n,j\}} \frac{\beta^{n-k} q^{n-k}}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}} \frac{q^{k-j}}{1+q+\dots+q^{k-1}} \\ &= \frac{\beta^n q^{n-j}}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{n,j\}} \frac{\bar{\beta}^k}{1+q+\dots+q^{k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$\left| \frac{\beta^n q^{n-j}}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{n,j\}} \frac{\bar{\beta}^k}{1+q+\dots+q^{k-1}} \right| \leq \frac{q^{n-j}}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{n,j\}} |\bar{\beta}^k|$$

$$= \frac{q^{n-j}}{1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}} |\bar{\beta}| \frac{|1-\bar{\beta}^{\min\{n,j\}}|}{|1-\bar{\beta}|} \leq \frac{2q^{n-j}}{(1+q+\dots+q^{n-1})|1-\bar{\beta}|}.$$

Therefore we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_{\beta}(q)(C(q))^*\|_{H.S} &= \sum_{n,j=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\beta^n q^{n-j}}{1+\dots+q^{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{n,j\}} \frac{\bar{\beta}^k}{1+\dots+q^{k-1}} \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4}{|1-\bar{\beta}|^2} \sum_{n,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n} q^{-2j}}{(1+\dots+q^{n-1})^2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $C_{\beta}(q)(C(q))^* \in B_2(H^2)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bustoz and L. F. Gordillo, “ q -Hausdorff summability.” *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 35–48, 2005.
- [2] J. Cáceres, C. Hernando, M. Mora, I. M. Pelayo, M. L. Puertas, C. Seara, and D. R. Wood, “On the metric dimension of Cartesian products of graphs.” *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 423–441, 2007, doi: [10.1137/050641867](https://doi.org/10.1137/050641867).
- [3] N. Durna and M. E. Turkay, “The spectrum of q -Cesàro matrices on c and its various spectral decomposition for $0 < q < 1$.” *Operators and Matrices*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 795–813, 2021, doi: [10.7153/oam-2021-15-55](https://doi.org/10.7153/oam-2021-15-55).
- [4] N. Durna and M. Yildirim, “Topological results about the set of generalized Rhaly matrices.” *Gen. Math. Notes*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2013.
- [5] N. Durna and M. Yildirim, “Generalized terraced matrices.” *Miskolc Math. Notes*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 201–208, 2016, doi: [10.18514/MMN.2016.1272](https://doi.org/10.18514/MMN.2016.1272).
- [6] S. R. El-Shabrawy, “On q -Cesàro operators: Boundedness, compactness and spectra.” *Numer. Func. Anal. Optim.*, vol. 42, no. 9, pp. 1019–1037, 2021, doi: [10.1080/01630563.2021.1933027](https://doi.org/10.1080/01630563.2021.1933027).
- [7] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*. Cambridge, University Press, 1952.
- [8] M. Mursaleen, M. Yildirim, and N. Durna, “On the spectrum and Hilbert Schmidt properties of generalized Rhaly matrices.” *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1. Math. Stat.*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 712–723, 2019, doi: [10.31801/cfsuasmas.464177](https://doi.org/10.31801/cfsuasmas.464177).
- [9] W. R. Wogen, “On some operators with cyclic vectors.” *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 163–171, 1978, doi: [10.1512/iumj.1978.27.27014](https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.1978.27.27014).
- [10] S. W. Young, *Algebraic and spectral properties of generalized Cesàro operators*. PhD Thesis, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill: ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2002.

Author’s address

Hasret Yazarli

Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, 58140 Sivas, Turkey

E-mail address: hyazarli@cumhuriyet.edu.tr